# Numerical Methods for Time-Dependent PDEs 

## Spring 2024

## Exercises for Lecture 2

## Exercise 2.1

Use the method of undetermined coefficients to obtain a uniform grid FD approximation of
(a) $u_{x}$ at $x=x_{i}$ of the form

$$
u_{x, i} \approx\left[-\frac{1}{6} u_{i+2}+u_{i+1}-\frac{1}{2} u_{i}-\frac{1}{3} u_{i-1}\right] / \Delta x .
$$

Show that the leading term in the error is $\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta x)^{3}\right)$.
(b) $u_{x x}$ at $x=x_{i}$ of the form

$$
u_{x x, i} \approx\left[u_{i-1}-u_{i}-u_{i+1}+u_{i+2}\right] /\left[2(\Delta x)^{2}\right] .
$$

Show that the leading term in the error is $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x)$.

## Exercise 2.2

Construct, for the first-derivative operator $u_{x}$ at the gridpoint $x=x_{i}$, an approximation of the form:

$$
u_{x, i} \approx A u_{i+1}+B u_{i}+C u_{i-1} .
$$

Which order of approximation do you find? How many solutions exist? Discuss a few well-known choices.

## Exercise 2.3

Derive a fourth-order approximation of $u_{x x}$ at $x=x_{i}$ :

$$
u_{x x, i} \approx A u_{i+2}+B u_{i+1}+C u_{i}+D u_{i-1}+E u_{i-2}
$$

and determine the constants $A, B, C, D$ and $E$. What happens at the boundary grid points of the domain? Discuss the effects and how to include boundary conditions.

## Exercise 2.4

(a) Show that the eigenvalues of the (tri-diagonal) $M \times M$-matrix $\mathcal{D}_{2 c}$ (with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions), given by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{2 c}=\frac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-2 & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & & & \emptyset & \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & & & \\
& & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & & \\
& & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & \\
& \emptyset & & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\
& & & & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
& & & & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right),
$$

are:

$$
\lambda_{p}=\frac{2}{(\Delta x)^{2}}(\cos (p \pi \Delta x)-1), \text { for } p=1,2, \ldots, M
$$

The eigenvector $v^{p}$ corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda_{p}$ has components:

$$
v_{i}^{p}=\sin (p \pi i \Delta x), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, M .
$$

(b) Choose $M=10$ and plot the eigenvalues in the complex plane. The same question for $M=20$. What do you expect for $M \gg 1$ ?

## *Exercise 2.5

(a) Show that the eigenvalues of the (circulant) $(M+1) \times(M+1)$-matrix $\mathcal{D}_{1 c}$ (with periodic boundary conditions), given by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1 c}=\frac{1}{2 \Delta x}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & \emptyset & \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & \\
& & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & & \\
& & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & \\
& \emptyset & & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & & & & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
& 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

are:

$$
\lambda_{p}=\frac{i}{\Delta x} \sin (2 \pi p \Delta x), \text { for } p=1, \ldots, M+1
$$

Note that the $i$-th component of the $p$-th eigenvector has the form:

$$
v_{i}^{p}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 p \pi i \Delta x} \quad(\mathbf{i}=\sqrt{-1}) .
$$

(b) Choose $M=10$ and plot the eigenvalues in the complex plane. The same question for $M=20$. What do you expect for $M \gg 1$ ?

## Exercise 2.6

(a) Check that the following two identities hold:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{2 c}=\mathcal{D}_{1+} \mathcal{D}_{1-} \quad \& \quad \mathcal{D}_{1 c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1+}+\mathcal{D}_{1-}\right)
$$

(b) Work out the matrix: $\mathcal{D}_{4 c}=\left(\mathcal{D}_{2 c}\right)^{2}$. (the matrix $\mathcal{D}_{4 c}$ approximates $u_{4 x}:=u_{x x x x}$ )
(c) Derive a finite difference matrix $\mathcal{D}_{6 c}$ for $u_{6 x}:=u_{x x x x x x}$.

## Exercise 2.7

Consider the one-dimensional stationary convection-diffusion model:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon u^{\prime \prime}(x)-u^{\prime}(x)=0, x \in[0,1], 0<\epsilon<1  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=0, \quad u(1)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

We approximate (1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{u_{i+1}-2 u_{i}+u_{i-1}}{(\Delta x)^{2}}-u_{x, i}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, M-1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}=0, u_{M}=1$ and $u_{x, i}$ is an approximation for $u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$.
(a) Find the analytical solution of model (1). Sketch a few solutions for decreasing values of $\epsilon$.
(b) Use central differences for $u_{x, i}$ on a three-point stencil. Check that the exact solution of the (linear) numerical system (2) satisfies:

$$
u_{i}=\frac{\left(\frac{1+P_{e}}{1-P_{e}}\right)^{i}-1}{\left(\frac{1+P_{e}}{1-P_{e}}\right)^{M}-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, M-1
$$

where the mesh-Péclet number is defined by: $P_{e}=\frac{\Delta x}{2 \epsilon}$. Sketch a few numerical solutions for $P_{e}>1$ and $P_{e}<1$ (choose $M$ not too large).
(c) Next, use first-order upwind (backward finite differences) for $u_{x, i}$. Check that the exact solution of the (linear) numerical system (2) now becomes:

$$
u_{i}=\frac{\left(1+P_{e}\right)^{i}-1}{\left(1+P_{e}\right)^{M}-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, M-1
$$

Choose the same values for $M$ and $\epsilon$ as in part (b) and compare both types of numerical solutions. Discuss the influence of $P_{e}$.

## *Exercise 2.8

Consider the one-dimensional nonlinear Gelfand-Bratu model:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u(x)}=0, x \in[0,1]  \tag{3}\\
u(0)=0, \quad u(1)=0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We approximate (3) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{i+1}-2 u_{i}+u_{i-1}}{(\Delta x)^{2}}+\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u_{i}}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, M-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{M}=0$.
(a) Check that, for $\lambda \geq 0$, the exact solution of (3) satisfies:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x)=-2 \ln \left[\frac{\cosh \left(\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{\cosh \left(\frac{\theta}{4}\right)}\right] \\
\theta=\sqrt{2 \lambda} \cosh \left(\frac{\theta}{4}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Draw the two(!) solutions for $\lambda=1$.
(b) Apply the Matlab-routine fsolve.m, with an appropriate initial guess and $M=10$, to the nonlinear system (4). Reproduce the two solutions for $\lambda=1$ numerically.

## *Exercise 2.9

Derive a non-uniform grid approximation at $x=x_{i}$ for both $u_{x, i}$ and $u_{x x, i}$ on a three-point stencil $\left\{x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\}$. Express the truncation error in terms of derivatives of $u$ at $x_{i}$ and the non-uniform grid variables $\left\{\Delta x_{i-1}, \Delta x_{i}, \Delta x_{i+1}\right\}$.

## Exercise 2.10

In two dimensions the Laplacian $\Delta u$ can be approximated at the gridpoint $(x, y)=\left(x_{i, j}, y_{i, j}\right)$ on a nine-point stencil (take $\left.\Delta x=\Delta y:=h\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta u_{i, j} \approx \frac{1}{6 h^{2}}\left[4\left(u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}\right)+\right. \\
&\left.u_{i-1, j-1}+u_{i-1, j+1}+u_{i+1, j-1}+u_{i+1, j+1}-20 u_{i, j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Check that this approximation is of second order (similar to the five point central approximation), but becomes fourth order, when the Laplace equation $\Delta u=0$ is solved, or when the Poisson equation $\Delta u=f(x, y)$ is solved with a harmonic function $f$, i.e., $\Delta f=0$.

