# Numerical Methods for Time-Dependent PDEs Spring 2024

Exercises for Lecture 3

## Exercise 3.1

Show that

(a) the implicit Gear method

$$u^{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(4u^n - u^{n-1}) + \frac{2\Delta t}{3}f(u^{n+1})$$

is zero stable,

(b) the explicit 3-step Adams method

$$u^{n+3} = u^{n+2} + \frac{\Delta t}{12} [5f(u^n) - 16f(u^{n+1}) + 23f(u^{n+2})]$$

is zero stable,

(c) and that the linear multistep method

$$u^{n+2} - 3u^{n+1} + 2u^n = -\Delta t f(u^n)$$

is  $\underline{\text{not}}$  zero stable.

### Exercise 3.2

Find the stability polynomial  $\pi(\zeta; z)$  and its roots for the

(a) trapezoidal method:

$$\frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{2} [f(u^n) + f(u^{n+1})].$$

(b) the midpoint (leapfrog) method:

$$\frac{u^{n+1} - u^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} = f(u^n).$$

## Exercise 3.3

Sketch/plot<sup>1</sup> the stability regions in the complex plane of the following methods:

(a) a second-order explicit Runge-Kutta (two-stages) of the form:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} k_1 = f(u^n) \\ k_2 = f(u^n + k_1 \Delta t), \\ u^{n+1} = u^n + \frac{\Delta t}{2}(k_1 + k_2). \end{array}\right\}$$

(b) a fourth-order explicit Runge-Kutta (four stages):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_1 = f(u^n), \\ k_2 = f(u^n + k_1 \frac{\Delta t}{2}), \\ k_3 = f(u^n + k_2 \frac{\Delta t}{2}), \\ k_4 = f(u^n + k_3 \Delta t), \\ u^{n+1} = u^n + \frac{\Delta t}{6} [k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4]. \end{array} \right\}$$

(c) the second-order Taylor method:

$$u^{n+1} = u^n + \Delta t f(u^n) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta t)^2 f'(u^n) f(u^n).$$

#### Exercise 3.4

Show that the *boundary locus* of the method

$$u^{n+1} = u^n + \Delta t f(u^n + \Delta t f(u^n))$$

is defined by

$$[1 + x + x^{2} - y^{2}]^{2} + y^{2}[1 + 2x]^{2} = 1$$

Plot this curve, as described in Exercise 3.3.

#### Exercise 3.5

(a) Deduce the eigenvalues of the central finite-difference matrices  $\mathcal{D}_{1c}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{2c}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{3c}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{4c}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{6c}$ .<sup>2</sup>

(b) Mark their positions in the complex plane.

(c) Comment on the stability properties of the methods EF (explicit Euler) and EB (implicit Euler), when applied to the semi-discrete ODE system:

$$\vec{u} = \mathcal{D}_{mc}\vec{u}, \ (m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>write z = x + iy and make use of the Newton-Raphson method to find an approximation of the *boundary locus* for a finite set of (x, y)-values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>You may assume that the eigenvectors of these matrices are identical.

### Exercise 3.6

Work out the semi-discrete ODE system (applying the Method-of-Lines) for the following PDE models:

- (a)  $u_t = du_{xx} + (u^2)_x \mu u_{xxt}$ .
- (b)  $u_t = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x$ .

(c)  $u_{tt} = u_{xxx}$  with  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$  and  $u_t(x, 0) = v_0(x)$ .<sup>3</sup> Where in the complex plane do we find the eigenvalues of the semi-discrete ODE system? Comment on the applicability of explicit Euler, implicit Euler and other time-integration methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>first re-write the PDE as a system of two PDEs.