# Solutions Final Exam M \& I, 23-6-11 

E.J. Balder

Problem 1 [25 pt]. For $j=1,2, \ldots$ let $X_{j}:=\mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathcal{A}_{j}:=\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the set $X:=\Pi_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{j}$ consists of all infinite sequences $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset 2^{X}$ be the collection of all sets $C \subset X$ of the following form: there exists a finite set of indices $K \subset \mathbb{N}(K$ may vary with the set $C$ and may even be empty) and associated sets $B_{k} \in \mathcal{A}_{k}, k \in K$, such that $C=\left\{\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}: \forall_{k \in K} x_{k} \in B_{k}\right\}$. Define $\mathcal{A}:=\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ to be the $\sigma$-algebra on $X$.
a. Prove: for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $A:=\left\{\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in X: \limsup _{j} x_{j} \leq \alpha\right\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$.
b. Prove: the set $D:=\left\{\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in X: \lim _{j} x_{j}\right.$ exists and is finite $\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. Hint: a sequence converges in $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Solution. Below it is handy to write $\mathbf{x}:=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, similar to what you usually do for $n$-tuples.
a. Method 1: use of Corollary 8.9. Define for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $u_{p}: \mathbf{x} \mapsto x_{p}$ from $X$ into $\mathbb{R}$. Each $u_{p}$ is obviously $\mathcal{A}$-measurable (because $u_{p}^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ - take $K:=\{p\}$ and $B_{p}:=B$ in the above definition). So by Corollary 8.9, the function $u:=\limsup _{p} u_{p}$ is also $\mathcal{A}$-measurable. Because of $u(\mathbf{x}):=\limsup _{p} u_{p}(\mathbf{x})=$ $\lim \sup _{p} x_{p}$, this implies $A=u^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha]) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Method 2: direct proof. The following proof "from scratch" repeats a lot of what goes into Corollary 8.9. By

$$
\mathbf{x} \in A \Leftrightarrow \forall_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup _{j} x_{j}<\alpha+\frac{1}{p} \Leftrightarrow \forall_{p} \inf _{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{j \geq m} x_{j}<\alpha+\frac{1}{p} \Leftrightarrow \forall_{p} \exists_{m} \sup _{j \geq m} x_{j}<\alpha+\frac{1}{p}
$$

you get $A=\cap_{p} \cup_{m} B_{p, m}$, with $B_{p, m}:=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: \sup _{j \geq m} x_{j}<\alpha+\frac{1}{p}\right\}$. Next, for every $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\mathbf{x} \in B_{p, m} \Leftrightarrow \exists_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{j \geq m} x_{j} \leq \alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{k} \Leftrightarrow \exists_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \forall_{j \geq m} x_{j} \leq \alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{k}
$$

shows that $B_{p, m}=\cup_{k} \cap_{j \geq m} C_{p, k, j}$, with $C_{p, k, j}:=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: x_{j} \leq \alpha+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{k}\right\}$. Together with the preceding this proves $A=\cap_{p} \cup_{m} \cup_{k} \cap_{j \geq m} C_{p, k, j}$ and each $C_{p, k, j}$ obviously belongs to $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$. From this identity you obtain $A \in \mathcal{A}$, because $\mathcal{A}$ is closed for countable intersections and unions.
b. Method 1: use the hint. Let $D:=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: \lim _{j} x_{j}\right.$ exists and is finite $\}$. The hint suggests you to use that $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to $D$ if and only if it is Cauchy, which holds evidently if and only if

$$
\forall_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \exists_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \forall_{k, l \geq m}\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|<\frac{1}{p}
$$

Therefore, $D=\cap_{p} \cup_{m} \cap_{k, l \geq m} E_{p, k, l}$, where $E_{p, k, l}:=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X:\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|<\frac{1}{p}\right\}$. By

$$
\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|<\frac{1}{p} \Leftrightarrow \exists_{q, q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}} x_{l}-\frac{1}{p}<q<x_{k} \text { and } x_{k}<q^{\prime}<x_{l}+\frac{1}{p}
$$

it follows that $E_{p, k, l}=\cup_{q, q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}} F_{p, k, l, q} \cap F_{p, k, l, q^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{p, k, l, q} & :=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: x_{k} \in(q,+\infty), x_{l} \in\left(-\infty, q+\frac{1}{p}\right)\right\} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A} \\
F_{p, k, l, q}^{\prime} & :=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: x_{k} \in\left(-\infty, q^{\prime}\right), x_{l} \in\left(q^{\prime}-\frac{1}{p},+\infty\right)\right\} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

By repeated use of the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed for countable intersections and unions it thus follows that $D$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$.

Method 2: use part a. Let $D:=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in X: \lim _{j} x_{j}\right.$ exists and is finite $\}$. Then

$$
D=\{\mathbf{x} \in X: l i(\mathbf{x})=l s(\mathbf{x})\} \cap\left[\cup_{k}\{\mathbf{x} \in X: l s(\mathbf{x}) \leq k\}\right] \cap\left[\cup_{k}\{\mathbf{x} \in X: l i(\mathbf{x}) \geq-k\}\right]
$$

by defining $l s(\mathbf{x}):=\limsup _{j} x_{j}$ and $l i(\mathbf{x}):=\liminf _{j} x_{j}$ for $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j} \in X$. By part a the function $l s: X \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty]$ is measurable and so is the other function $l i: X \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty]$. The latter is seen by first observing that $\mathbf{x}:=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j} \mapsto\left(-x_{j}\right)_{j}=:-\mathbf{x}$ is measurable from $X$ into $X$ (you can use Lemma 7.2 for this) and then using the well-known identity $l i(\mathbf{x})=$ $-l s(-\mathbf{x})$. So $D \in \mathcal{A}$ follows by Corollary 8.12 and Lemma 8.1.

Problem 2 [ $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ p t}$ ] Consider $X:=\mathbb{R}$, equipped with the Borel $\sigma$-algebra and the Lebesgue measure $\lambda$. Let $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence of functions $f_{n}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\int_{X} f_{n} d \lambda=1$ and $\left\{x \in X: f_{n}(x) \neq 0\right\} \subset\{x \in X$ : $\left.\left|x-r_{n}\right|<2^{-n}\right\}=: S_{n}$ for each $n$. Here $\mathbb{Q}:=\left\{r_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ denotes an arbitrary but fixed enumeration of the rational numbers. Define $\mu(A):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A} f_{n} d \lambda$. Prove successively:
i. $\mu$ is a measure on $(X, \mathcal{A})$,
ii. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)<+\infty$ holds $\lambda$-a.e. [Hint: Use the following result and give its proof as well: if $\sum_{n} \lambda\left(B_{n}\right)<+\infty$ holds for a sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\lambda\left(\cap_{m} \cup_{n \geq m} B_{n}\right)=0$.]
iii. $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite on $(X, \mathcal{A})$.
iv. $\mu(A)=\infty$ holds for every open subset $A$ of $X$. [Hint: An open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ contains two concentric open intervals.]

Solution. i. Method 1. A direct consequence of Lemma 10.8, which guarantees that each $\nu_{n}: A \mapsto \int_{A} f_{n}$ is a measure, and Problem 4.6(ii), which then implies that $\sum_{n} \nu_{n}$ is a measure.

Method 2. First, $\mu(\emptyset)=\sum_{n} 0=0$ is obvious and for any mutually disjoint collection $\left\{A_{j}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{A}$

$$
\mu\left(\cup_{j} A_{j}\right)=\sum_{n} \int_{X} \sum_{j} 1_{A_{j}} f_{n} \stackrel{\text { Cor } 9.9}{=} \sum_{n} \sum_{j} \int_{A_{j}} f_{n} \stackrel{\text { Tonelli }}{=} \sum_{j} \sum_{n} \int_{A_{j}} f_{n}=\sum_{j} \mu\left(A_{j}\right)
$$

where "Tonelli" refers to the swith of the summation indices, applied to two counting measures (validity of that switch also follows by Problem 4.6(ii), p 24).
ii. First you must prove the hint (= actual homework problem 6.9, p. 47) about the Borel-Cantelli theorem. Let $C_{m}:=\cup_{n \geq m} B_{n}$; then $C_{m} \downarrow \cap_{m} \cup_{n \geq m} B_{n}=: N$. Now $0 \leq$ $\mu(N) \leq \mu\left(C_{m}\right) \leq \sum_{n \geq m} \mu\left(B_{n}\right)$ and for $m \rightarrow \infty$ the right hand side converges to zero by the hypothesis $\sum_{n} \lambda\left(\bar{B}_{n}\right)<+\infty$. Conclusion: $\mu(N)=0$. Now apply this hint to the sets $B_{n}:=\left\{f_{n} \neq 0\right\}$. Note that $\lambda\left(B_{n}\right)<2 * 2^{-n}$ is given, so $\sum_{n} \lambda\left(B_{n}\right)$ is certainly finite. By the hint, it then follows that $\lambda(N)=0$ for $N:=\cap_{m} \cup_{n \geq m}\left\{f_{n} \neq 0\right\}$. Now for any $x \notin N$ you have, by definition of $N$, that there is $m=m(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \notin B_{n}$ (i.e., such that $\left.f_{n}(x)=0\right)$ for all $n \geq m(x)$; hence, it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{m(x)} f_{n}(x)<+\infty$.
iii. Define $E_{m}:=\left\{x \in X: \sum_{n=1}^{m} f_{n}(x)<+\infty\right\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$; then by part b the set $E_{0}:=X \backslash\left(\cup_{m} E_{m}\right)$, being contained in $N$, has $\mu\left(E_{0}\right)=0$. Now $\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{m}=X$, where
$\mu\left(E_{0}\right)=0<+\infty$ and $\mu\left(E_{m}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{m}} f_{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} \int_{X} f_{n}=m<+\infty$ for every $m \geq 1$. This proves $\mu$ to be $\sigma$-finite.
$i v$. Correction: The open set $A$ should - obviously - be supposed nonempty in addition. Then $A$ has some point $x_{0}$ and there is $\delta>0$ such that the interval $I_{1}:=\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right)$, whence also $I_{2}:=\left(x_{0}-\frac{\delta}{2}, x_{0}+\frac{\delta}{2}\right)$, is contained in $A$. Choose $n$ from now on so large that $2^{-n}<\delta / 2$. Now the nonempty open interval $I_{2}$ contains infinitely many $r_{n}$ 's (i.e., they satisfy $\left.\left|r_{n}-x_{0}\right|<\delta / 2\right)$ and for each of the corresponding indices $n$ the set $\left\{f_{n} \neq 0\right\} \subset S_{n}$ is entirely contained in $A$ (use $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq\left|x_{0}-r_{n}\right|+\left|x-r_{n}\right|<\frac{\delta}{2}+2^{-n}<\delta$ ). The definition of $\mu$ now gives $\mu(A)=+\infty$, because $\int_{A} f_{n}=1$ for infinitely many $n$ 's.

Problem 3 [25 pt]. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space, let $\left(u_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(X)$ and let $u$ be in $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(X)$ as well. As usual, the essential supremum seminorm on $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(X)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. Prove the following equivalence $\lim _{j}\left\|u_{j}-u\right\|_{\infty}=0 \Leftrightarrow \exists_{A \in \mathcal{A}, \mu(A)=0} \lim _{j} \sup _{x \in X \backslash A}\left|u_{j}(x)-u(x)\right|=0$. Hint: $\lim _{j}\left\|u_{j}-u\right\|_{\infty}=0$ means that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}\left\|u_{j}-u\right\|_{\infty} \leq m^{-1}$ for large enough $j$.

Solution. $\Rightarrow$ : By the hint the hypothesis can be stated as follows: for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $J_{m}$ such that $\left\|u_{j}-u\right\|_{\infty}:=\inf \left\{C: \mu\left(\left|u_{j}-u\right|>C\right)=0\right\}<1 / m$ for all $j \geq J_{m}$. Hence, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m}<1 / m$ such that $\mu\left(\left|u_{j}-u\right|>C_{m}\right)=0$ for all $j \geq J_{m}$. Form $A:=\cup_{m} \cup_{j \geq J_{m}}\left\{\left|u_{j}-u\right|>C_{m}\right\}$, a countable union of null sets; then $\mu(A)=0$. Given any $\epsilon>0$, let $m$ be so large that $1 / m<\epsilon$. Then one has for $j \geq J_{m}$ that $X \backslash A \subset\left\{\left|u_{j}-u\right| \leq C_{m}\right\}$, which implies $\sup _{x \notin A}\left|u_{j}(x)-u(x)\right| \leq C_{m}<1 / m<\epsilon$.
$\Leftarrow$ : Let the null set $A$ be as in the statement and let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrary. The following is given: there exists $J$ such that $\sup _{x \notin A}\left|u(x)-u_{j}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon$ for every $j \geq J$. Then for every $j \geq J$ it follows from $x \notin A \Rightarrow\left|u(x)-u_{j}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon$ that $\left\{\left|u_{j}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\} \subset A$, whence $\mu\left(\left\{\left|u_{j}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\}\right)=0$. This proves $\left\|u_{j}-u\right\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ for all $j \geq J$.

Problem 4 [25 pt] Let $\mu$ be a finite measure on $(X, \mathcal{A}):=\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$. Prove that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$
\mu(A)=\inf \{\mu(G): G \supset A \text { and } G \text { is open }\}=: \iota(A)
$$

and

$$
\mu(A)=\sup \{\mu(F): F \subset A \text { and } F \text { is closed }\}=: \sigma(A)
$$

Hint: Used the good sets principle and recall that every closed subset of $X$ is a countable intersection of open sets (prove this as well).

Solution. Step 1. Naturally, you still remember homework Problem 10.12, so you begin by mimicking its part $(i)$. By monotonicity of $\mu$, the above definitions give $\iota(A) \geq$ $\mu(A) \geq \sigma(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Notice also the following property: for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$
\iota(X \backslash A)=\inf _{G \text { open }, G \supset X \backslash A} \mu(G)=\inf _{F \operatorname{closed}, F \subset A} \mu(X \backslash F)=\inf _{F \operatorname{closed}, F \subset A} \mu(X)-\mu(F),
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota(X \backslash A)=\mu(X)-\sup _{F \operatorname{closed}, F \subset A} \mu(F)=\mu(X)-\sigma(A) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. Next, you follow the hint: let $\mathcal{C}$ be the class of all "good sets", i.e., the class of all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\mu(A)=\iota(A)=\sigma(A)$ (also this is still similar to the spirit of Problem 10.12). Then the inequalities above imply that $\mathcal{C}$ is actually the set of all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\iota(A) \leq \sigma(A)$. The strategy suggested by the hint is to try to prove that $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra (see step 4) and to prove also that $\mathcal{C}$ contains any closed set $F \subset X$ (see step 3 ). After that, the proof is obviously finished, for then you have achieved $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{C}=\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \supset$ $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A}$.

Step 3. You must show $\iota(F) \leq \sigma(F)$, for which it is enough to prove (1) $\sigma(F) \geq \mu(F)$ and (2) $\mu(F) \geq \iota(F)$. Here (1) follows immediately from the definition of $\sigma(F)$. You can prove (2) by using the hint, which says that $F=\cap_{k} G_{k}$ for some countable collection $\left\{G_{k}\right\}_{k}$ of open sets. Without loss of generality you may suppose monotonicity (or else consider the open sets $\left.G_{m}^{\prime}:=\cap_{k \leq m} G_{k} \supset F\right)$. Because the measure $\mu$ is finite, it follows that $\mu\left(G_{k}\right) \downarrow \mu(F)$. By $\mu\left(G_{k}\right) \geq \iota(F)$ this gives (2).

Step 4. You must show that $\mathcal{C}$ does the following: (i) it contains $\emptyset$, (ii) it is closed for taking complements and (iii) it is closed for taking countable unions. As for $(i)$, this follows immediately from $\iota(\emptyset)=\sigma(\emptyset)=0$. As for $(i i)$, let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be arbitrary. Then $\iota(A) \leq \sigma(A)$, so (1) implies $\iota(X \backslash A)=\mu(X)-\sigma(A) \leq \mu(X)-\iota(A)$. Because it also follows from (1) that $\sigma(X \backslash A)=\mu(X)-\iota(A)$, you get $\iota(X \backslash A) \leq \sigma(X \backslash A)$, which proves that $X \backslash A$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. As for $($ iii $)$, you must prove that if $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset \mathcal{C}$ (i.e., $\iota\left(A_{j}\right) \leq \sigma\left(A_{j}\right)$ for every $j)$ then $A:=\cup_{j} A_{j} \in \mathcal{C}$, i.e., $\iota(A) \leq \sigma(A)$, and for this it is already enough to prove that $\iota(A) \leq \sigma(A)+\epsilon$ for an arbitrary, fixed $\epsilon>0$. Now for every $j$ the inequality $\iota\left(A_{j}\right) \leq \sigma\left(A_{j}\right)$ implies that there exist an open set $G_{j}, G_{j} \supset A_{j}$, and a closed set $F_{j}, F_{j} \subset A_{j}$, such that $\mu\left(G_{j}\right)<\mu\left(F_{j}\right)+\epsilon / 2^{j}$. Then for the open set $G:=\cup_{j} G_{j} \supset A$ and the set $F:=\cup_{j} F_{j}$ you have $\mu(G \backslash F) \leq \sum_{j} \mu\left(G_{j} \backslash F_{j}\right)$ by $G \backslash F \subset \cup_{j}\left(G_{j} \backslash F_{j}\right)<\epsilon$. Although $F$ need not be closed, each set $F_{m}^{\prime}:=\cup_{j=1}^{m} F_{j}$ is closed. Here $F_{m}^{\prime} \uparrow F$ implies $G \backslash F_{m}^{\prime} \downarrow G \backslash F$, so it follows from the above that $\mu\left(G \backslash F_{m}^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon$ for large enough $m$. By $G \supset A \supset F_{m}^{\prime}$ this implies the desired inequality $\iota(A) \leq \sigma(A)+\epsilon$. The proof is now finished, in view of what was observed in step 2.

Finally, the hint follows by taking for an arbitrary closed and nonempty set $F \subset X$ the sequence composed of the open sets $G_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $G_{k}:=\left\{x \in X: \inf _{z \in F}\|z-x\|<1 / k\right\}$ is open, being the union over $z \in F$ of all open balls $B_{z, 1 / k}$. Then $\cap_{k} G_{k} \supset F$ is trivial and $\cap_{k} G_{k} \subset F$ follows simply from the fact that $F$ is closed (if $\tilde{x} \in \cap_{k} G_{k}$ then there exists a sequence $\left(z_{k}\right) \subset F$ such that $\left.z_{k} \rightarrow \tilde{x}\right)$.

