

## Example for WISB372/ECRMMAT, week 39

**Example in the course (continued).** The following optimal extraction problem was considered: maximize  $\int_0^T e^{-\rho t} (py(t) - \frac{y^2(t)}{2}) dt$  over all control functions  $y(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(0) = x_0 > 0$  and  $x(T) \geq 0$ . Here the DS is  $\dot{x} = -y$  and the parameter  $p > 0$  represents the market price of the extracted mineral.

Solving it by means of the current value Hamiltonian gave  $x(t) = -pt + \frac{c_1}{\rho} e^{\rho t} + x_0$  and  $\mu(t) = c_1 e^{\rho t}$ , with the constant  $c_1$  still to be determined. Now we distinguish the following cases:

*Case 1:*  $x(T) > 0$ . In this case  $\mu(T) = c_1 e^{\rho T} = 0$ , which implies  $c_1 = 0$ . So we get  $x(t) = -pt + x_0$ . In this case this can only happen if  $0 < x(T) = -pT + x_0$ , i.e. if  $pT < x_0$ .

*Case 2:*  $x(T) = 0$ . In this case the above formula for  $x(t)$  gives  $0 = x(T) = -pT + \frac{c_1}{\rho} e^{\rho T} + x_0$ , which implies  $c_1 = \rho(pT - x_0)e^{-\rho T}$ . It follows from this that  $\mu(t) = \rho(pT - x_0)e^{\rho(t-T)}$ . Because  $\mu(T) \geq 0$  must hold as well in this case, this implies  $pT \geq x_0$ .

Preliminary conclusion: 1. If  $pT < x_0$ , then the candidate-optimal trajectory is  $x(t) = -pt + x_0$  and then the associated candidate-optimal control function is  $y(t) = -\dot{x}(t) = p$ , 2. If  $pT \geq x_0$ , then the candidate-optimal trajectory is  $x(t) = -pt + (pT - x_0)e^{\rho(t-T)} + x_0$  and the associated candidate-optimal control is  $y(t) = -\dot{x}(t) = p - (pT - x_0)\rho e^{\rho(t-T)}$ . Upon further inspection, we see that above “candidate-optimal” can be replaced by “optimal”. This follows by application of the Sufficiency Theorem, because  $f(t, \xi, \theta) := e^{-\rho t}(p\theta - \frac{\theta^2}{2})$  is concave in  $\theta$  and  $g(t, \xi, \theta) := -\theta$  is linear in  $\theta$ .