

Analysis on real semisimple Lie groups, II

1. We will consider representation theory in a more systematic way.

In the following we assume that V is a Fréchet space. (more generally we may assume that V is locally convex, Hausdorff, quasi-complete and barreled; the latter to ensure validity of the principle of uniform boundedness).

- A representation π of G in V is continuous if the map $G \times V \rightarrow V$, $(x, v) \mapsto \pi(x)v$ is continuous (equivalently, $\pi: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ should be strongly continuous; this involves uniform boundedness). We assume G \mathbb{R} semisimple, connected, $\#Z(G) < \infty$, $\theta: G \rightarrow G$ Cartan involution, so $K = G^\theta$ is maximal compact.

2. Def: $V_K = \{v \in V \mid \text{span}(\pi(K)v) \text{ finite dimensional}\}$.

If $v \in V_K$, then $W := \text{span}(\pi(K)v)$ decomposes canonically as $W \simeq \bigoplus_{\delta \in \hat{K}} \text{Hom}_K(V_\delta, W) \otimes V_\delta$

(finitely many terms non-trivial). Here \hat{K} denotes the collection of (equivalence classes of) irreducible finite dim cont reps of K .

The inclusion $\text{Hom}_K(V_\delta, W) \otimes V_\delta \rightarrow W$ is given by $T \otimes u \mapsto T(u)$, and is K -

equivariant for $1 \otimes \delta$.

12/6-2013

2

It follows that also (K -equivariantly)

$$V_K \simeq \bigoplus_{\delta \in \hat{K}} \text{Hom}_K(V_\delta, V) \otimes V_\delta$$

but now $\text{Hom}(V_\delta, V)$ may be infinite dimensional. We agree to write

$$V[\delta] = \text{image}(\text{Hom}_K(V_\delta, V) \otimes V_\delta)$$

$$= \left\{ v \in V_K \mid \exists_N \text{span}(\pi(K)v) \simeq \delta^N \right\}$$

This is called the isotypical component of V of type δ .

3. Def V is said to be admissible if

$$\forall \delta \in \hat{K} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V[\delta] < \infty.$$

Lemma Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Then, as a K -module,

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(G : P : \lambda)_K &\simeq C^\infty(K/M)_K \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\delta \in K} (V_\delta^*)^M \otimes V_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\text{Ind}_P^G(\lambda)$ is admissible.

Proof. The first isomorphism has been established.

For the second one, we note that

$$C^\infty(K/M)_M \simeq \bigoplus_{\delta \in \hat{K}} \text{Hom}_k(V_\delta, C^\infty(K/M)) \otimes V_\delta.$$

Now $C^\infty(K/M)$ is the space of $\text{ind}_M^K(1)^\infty$ and by Frobenius reciprocity for compact groups, the map $T \mapsto e_{eM} \circ T$ (with $e_{eM}: C^\infty(K/M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \varphi \mapsto \varphi(eM)$) is a linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_k(\delta, \text{ind}_M^K(1)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_M(\delta|_M, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\simeq (V_\delta)^* \otimes M. \end{aligned}$$

Remark more generally, if $\tau \in \hat{M}$ is a finite dimensional unitary representation of M in \mathcal{H}_τ , and $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, we denote by $\tau \otimes \lambda \otimes 1$ the representation of \mathbb{I} in \mathcal{H}_τ given by

$$(\tau \otimes \lambda \otimes 1)(man) = a^\lambda \tau(m).$$

Then

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\tau \otimes \lambda \otimes 1)^\infty \simeq \text{ind}_P^G(\tau \otimes (\lambda + \rho) \otimes 1)^\infty$$

is admissible as well, by similar reasoning.

The Representations

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\tau \otimes \lambda \otimes 1)^\infty \text{ of } G,$$

for $\tau \in \hat{M}$ (fin. dim^d, irr. unitary) and $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ are said to form the (minimal) principal series of representations

4. We assume (π, V) continuous Fréchet repⁿ of G ,

Def A vector $v \in V$ is said to be smooth if the map $x \mapsto \pi(x)v$ is C^∞ from G to V . The subspace of such vectors is denoted by V^∞ .

Lemma V^∞ is dense in V .

Proof This follows essentially by a convolution argument. Let dx be left- (hence also right) invariant positive density on G .

For $f \in C_c(G)$ define

$$\pi(f) : V \rightarrow V$$

by

$$\pi(f)v = \int_G f(x) \pi(x)v \, dx$$

Then $\pi(L_y f) = \pi(y) \circ \pi(f)$, $\forall y \in G$.

(use left invariance of the measure). If $\nu \in V$

and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ then $\pi(f)\nu \in V^\infty$. Now

take $(f_j) \subset C_c^\infty(G)$ st:

$$(1) \text{ supp } f_j \rightarrow \{e\}$$

$$(2) f_j \geq 0, \int_G f_j(x) dx = 1.$$

Then $f_j dx \rightarrow \delta_e$ in $C(G)'$. It is rather straight forward to show that

$$\pi(f_j)\nu \rightarrow \nu. \quad \square$$

↳ Lemma $V^\infty \cap V_K$ is dense in V .

Proof. One may take the sequence (f_j)

of the previous proof to consist of K -finite (f_j) (by applying representation theory of K). \square

Cor If (π, V) is admissible, then

$$1) V_K \subset V^\infty$$

$$2) V_K \text{ is dense in } V.$$

Proof. $V^\infty \cap V[\delta]$ is dense in $V[\delta]$

and $V[\delta]$ is finite dimensional $\forall \delta \in \hat{K}$.

Therefore, $V^\infty \cap V[\delta] = V[\delta]$. It follows that $V^\infty \cap V_K = V_K$. 1) & 2) follow. \square

We note that V^∞ is G -invariant. By smoothness, the representation of G in V^∞ induces a representation of \mathfrak{g} in V^∞ given by

$$\pi_*(X)v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} [\pi(\exp tX)v].$$

By the universal property, we see that V^∞ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

6 Lemma Let $x \in G$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then on V^∞ we have

$$\pi(x) \circ \pi_*(Y) = \pi_*(\text{Ad}(x)Y) \circ \pi(x).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) \pi_*(Y)v &= \pi(x) \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi(\exp tY)v \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi(x) \pi(\exp tY)v \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi(\exp t \text{Ad}(x)Y) \pi(x)v \\ &= \pi_*(\text{Ad}(x)Y) \pi(x)v. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Propⁿ: Assume (\mathfrak{g}, V) is admissible. Then

$$(1) \quad V_K \subset V^\infty;$$

$$(2) \quad \forall_{X \in \mathfrak{g}} \quad \pi(X) V_K \subset V_K.$$

Proof. (1) has been established already.

We now note that for every $\delta \in \hat{K}$,

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes V[\delta] \xrightarrow{t} V$$

is a K -module map; here the K -action on \mathfrak{g} is given by $(k, X) \mapsto \text{Ad}(k)X$. The

space $\mathfrak{g} \otimes V[\delta]$ is a finite dimensional K -module. Hence $t(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V[\delta]) \subset V_K$.

The result follows. \square .

7 Def A (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is a complex linear space V , equipped with representations of \mathfrak{g} and K such that.

$$(1) \quad \forall_{v \in V} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{span} \{Kv\} < \infty$$

and the rep of K on $\text{span}(Kv)$ is continuous

$$(2) \quad \forall_{k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{g}} \quad (k \cdot) \circ (X \cdot) = (\text{Ad}(k)X \cdot) \circ k \cdot$$

$$(3) \quad \forall_{X \in \mathfrak{k}} \quad X \cdot = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp tX \cdot$$

8 Def. Let V be (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. For $\delta \in \hat{K}$ we denote by $V[\delta]$ the subspace of $v \in V$ s.t. the K -module $\text{span}(Kv)$ is a sum of copies of δ .

Note that $V[\delta] \cong \text{Hom}_K(V_\delta, V) \otimes V_\delta$ naturally. Also,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \hat{K}} V[\delta]$$

9 Def V is said to be admissible if and only if $\forall \delta \in \hat{K} : \dim V[\delta] < \infty$.

Lemma Let (π, V) be a continuous Fréchet representation of G . Then $V_K \cap V^\infty$ is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module.

Furthermore, V is admissible $\Leftrightarrow V_K \cap V^\infty$ is admissible. In this case $V_K = V_K \cap V^\infty$.

Proof Easy. □

10 Def. Let (π, V) be a continuous Fréchet representation of G . Then π is called irreducible if the only closed invariant subspaces of V are $\{0\}$ and V .

Lemma Let (π, V) be an admissible Fréchet representation. Then the map $W \mapsto W_K$ establishes a bijection between closed G -invariant subspaces of V and (σ, K) -submodules of V_K . Its inverse is given by $U \mapsto \overline{U}$.

proof easy. \square

Theorem (Harish-Chandra). Let (π, \mathcal{H}) be an irreducible unitary representation. Then π is admissible.

11 Def An admissible (σ, K) -module V is said to be unitariable if and only if there exists a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V such that

$$(1) \quad \langle kw, kw \rangle = \langle w, w \rangle \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \forall \\ w, w' \in V \end{array} \quad \forall \begin{array}{c} h \in K \end{array} \right)$$

$$(2) \quad \langle Xv, w \rangle = - \langle v, Xw \rangle \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \forall \\ v, w \in V \end{array} \quad \forall \begin{array}{c} X \in \mathfrak{g} \end{array} \right)$$

Clearly, if (π, \mathcal{H}) is irreducible unitary, then \mathcal{H}_K is irreducible unitariable (σ, K) -module.

Theorem (Harish-Chandra) Let V be an irreducible unitariable (σ, K) -module. Then there exists an

irreducible unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of G
 st. $\mathcal{H}_K \simeq V$.

12 Theorem (Harish-Chandra & Casselman subrepresentation thm)

Let V be an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Then
 there exist $\tau \in \hat{M}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and
 an embedding $j: V \hookrightarrow C^\infty(P; \tau; \lambda)_K$
 of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules.

13 Distribution vectors

Let (π, V) be a continuous Fréchet representation.
 We denote by $V^{-\infty}$ the continuous anti-linear
 dual of V^∞ , equipped with the strong dual
 topology.

If V is a Hilbert space and π unitary
 then the map $v \mapsto \langle v, \cdot \rangle$ defines a
 G -equivariant embedding $V \hookrightarrow V^{-\infty}$. Thus
 we have G -equivariant embeddings

$$V^\infty \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow V^{-\infty}$$

Let σ be an involution on G and

H an open (hence closed) subgroup of G^σ .
 Then G/H is a so called reductive symmetric space. It is known that there exists a Cartan involution θ of G st.
 $\sigma \circ \theta = \theta \circ \sigma$. Let σ also denote the involution $\text{do}(e)$ of \mathfrak{g} , and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ the decomposition in the associated $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces.

The homogeneous space G/H has a G -invariant density dx . The corresponding space $L^2(G/H) = L^2(G/H, dx)$ therefore comes equipped with the unitary left regular representation. From general principles of functional analysis and group theory it can be shown that there exists a Plancherel

$$L^2(G/H) \simeq \int_{\widehat{G}_H}^{\oplus} m_\pi \pi \, d\mu(\pi),$$

decomposition

i.e. $L^2(G/H)$ allows a direct integral decomposition into irreducible unitary representations π , with multiplicities m_π . The integral ranges over \widehat{G}_H the set of (equivalence classes

of irreducible unitary representations

$$(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi) \text{ with } (\mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty})^H \neq 0.$$

This set carries a natural topology for which $d\mu$ is a Borel measure, the so-called Plancherel measure.

The condition $(\mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty})^H \neq 0$ is equivalent to the requirement that

$$\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty}, C^\infty(G/H)) \neq 0.$$

(one needs to have intertwiners $\mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty} \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G/H)$ for π to appear in $L^2(G/H)$).

To find an explicit Plancherel formula, one of the first steps is to find principal series of representations possessing an H -fixed distribution vector.

Let $\sigma_q \subset \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{a}$ be maximal abelian and let $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be maximal abelian s.t. $\sigma \supset \sigma_q$. Then both $\Sigma(\sigma, \sigma)$ and $\Sigma(\sigma_q, \sigma_q)$ are (possibly non-reduced) root systems.

Let $P = MAN_P$ be a minimal parabolic

subgroup of G . I.e.,

$$\Sigma(P) := \{ \alpha \in \Sigma(\sigma_f, \sigma_t) \mid \sigma_f \alpha \subset \pi_P \}$$

is a positive system for $\Sigma(\sigma_f, \sigma_t)$.

13 We consider a finite dimensional irreducible unitary representation (ξ, V_ξ) of M with $V_\xi^{M \cap H} \neq 0$, and are interested in embeddings

$$\text{Ind}_P^G (\xi \otimes (-\mu) \otimes 1)^\infty \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G/H),$$

for $\mu \in \sigma_G^*$. These correspond to continuous linear functionals

$$u \in C^\infty(P : \xi : -\mu)^\vee{}^H$$

We attempt to construct these as follows.

Let $\eta \in (V_\xi^*)^{H \cap M} \simeq \overline{V_\xi}^{H \cap M}$. Let ω be

a positive density of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p} \simeq$

$T_{e(H \cap P)}((H \cap P) \setminus H)$. We would like to define

$u = u_\eta$ by

$$u_\eta(f) = \int_{H \cap P \setminus H} \langle f(h), \eta \rangle d\tau_h(e)^{-1} * \omega \quad (13.1)$$

14 For this we need the integrand to be a genuine density on $(H \cap P) \backslash H$, or, equivalently

$$\langle f(p h), \eta \rangle d\mu_{ph}(e)^{-1*} \omega = \langle f(h), \eta \rangle d\mu_h(e)^{-1*} \omega$$

for all $h \in H$ and $p \in P \cap H$. It can be shown that $P \cap H = (N_{P \cap H})(A \cap H)(M \cap H)$. Since

$$f(n a m h) = a^{\mu - \rho_E} \xi(m)^{-1} f(h)$$

and

$$d\mu_{ph}(e)^{-1*} \omega = |\det \text{Ad}(p^{-1})|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h} \cap \underline{P}}| \cdot d\mu_h(e)^{-1*} \omega$$

we see that we need

$$a^{\mu - \rho_E} = |\det \text{Ad}(a)|_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h} \cap \underline{P}}$$

for all $a \in A \cap H$. The map $X \mapsto X + \sigma X$,

$$\mathfrak{n}_P / \mathfrak{n}_{P \cap \mathfrak{h}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h} \cap \underline{P}$$

is an isomorphism of $A \cap H$ -modules. Thus we need that

$$(\mu - \rho_E)|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}} = 2\rho_E|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}} - \text{tr ad}(\cdot)|_{\mathfrak{n}_{P \cap \mathfrak{h}}}|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}}$$

or

$$\mu|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}} = \rho_E|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}} - 2\rho_{P \cap \mathfrak{h}}|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}} - \rho_{P \cap \mathfrak{h}}|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}} \quad (\#)$$

where
$$P_{P_h} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma(P) \cap \sigma_h^*} m_\alpha \alpha$$

$$P_{P_\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Sigma(P) \setminus \sigma_h^* \\ \sigma \alpha \in \Sigma(P)}} m_\alpha \alpha.$$

Condition (#) is equivalent to

$$\mu|_{\sigma_h} = -P_{P_h}|_{\sigma_h}.$$

Then

$$\mu = \lambda - P_{P_h}, \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}}^*.$$

We now recall the convexity theorem of Balaban & vdB which tells us that

$$\text{res}_q \circ \mathcal{H}_P(H) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma(P)_-} R_{\alpha} \text{res}_q H_\alpha$$

where
$$\Sigma(P)_- = \left\{ \alpha \in \Sigma(P) \cap \sigma \theta \Sigma(P) \mid \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \sigma_{\mathbb{I}}^* \\ \sigma \theta|_{\sigma \alpha} \neq I_{\sigma \alpha} \end{array} \right\}$$

Using this convexity theorem one can show

Theorem There exist constants $C_\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \in \Sigma(P)_-$ such that the integral (13.1) converges

for $\mu = \lambda - P_{P_h}$, $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{C}}^*$,

$$\langle \text{Re } \lambda, \alpha \rangle > C_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma(P)_-$$

15. Via the sesquilinear pairing

$$C^\infty(P: \xi: -\mu) \times C^\infty(P: \xi: \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

we identify $\overline{C^\infty(P: \xi: -\mu)}$ with

$$C^{-\infty}(P: \xi: \bar{\mu}) \simeq C^{-\infty}(K: \xi)$$

(generalized sections of $G \times_P (V_\xi \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})$).

Theorem For every λ as in Theorem 14, the map

$$u_\eta(\lambda): C^\infty(P: \xi: -\lambda + P_{Ph}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defines an element of

$$C^{-\infty}(P: \xi: \bar{\lambda} - P_{Ph})^H.$$

Moreover, $\lambda \mapsto u_\eta(\lambda)$ is holomorphic

on $\Omega(P) = \{ \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathbb{R}}^*(\mathbb{C}) \mid \langle \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \alpha \rangle > c_\alpha \ \forall \alpha \in \Sigma(P)_- \}$

as a map with values in (the compact picture)

$$C^{-\infty}(K: \xi).$$

Proof. Also involves convexity theorem of Balilamun - vol TB.