

Analysis in one complex variable

Lecture 8 – Singularities

Gil Cavalcanti

Utrecht University

May 2020
Utrecht

Recall

- Singularities,
- Removable singularities,
- Poles.

Essential singularities

Definition

Let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ have a singularity at z_0 . We say that z_0 is an *essential singularity* of f if the Laurent expansion of f at z_0 has infinitely many nonzero terms with negative exponent.

Essential singularities

Theorem (Casorati–Weierstrass)

If f has an essential singularity at z_0 , then for any disc D around z_0 $f(D \setminus \{z_0\})$ is dense on \mathbb{C} .

Proof.

Assume by contradiction that there is D such that $f(D \setminus \{z_0\})$ is not dense on \mathbb{C} .

Then there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and a disc D' around α such that $D' \not\subset f(D \setminus \{z_0\})$.

Consider

$$g: D \setminus \{z_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - \alpha}$$

g is bounded, hence z_0 is a removable singularity.

Essential singularities

Proof.

Hence g has at most a zero of some order, say, m at z_0 .

Hence $f(z) - \alpha = \frac{1}{g}$ has a pole of order m at z_0 .

(contradiction)



Biholomorphisms of the line

Definition

A *biholomorphism* between U and $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic map $f: U \rightarrow V$ with holomorphic inverse.

Lemma

If $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a holomorphic bijection, then f is a biholomorphism.

Proof.

Indeed, if f' is nowhere zero, then f has a holomorphic inverse, but if f' vanishes at a point then f is not a bijection. \square

Biholomorphisms of the line

Lemma (L03P02)

Let $f(z) = a_n z^n + \dots$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Then there is an analytic diffeomorphism φ of a neighbourhood of 0 such that $f \circ \varphi(z) = z^n$.

Biholomorphisms of the line

Theorem

The only biholomorphisms of \mathbb{C} (with itself) are maps of the form $f(z) = az + b$ with $a \neq 0$.

Proof.

Let f be a biholomorphism of \mathbb{C} . By composing f with a translation we may assume that $f(0) = 0$.

Hence f maps a neighbourhood U of 0 to a neighbourhood of 0 and, since f is a bijection, f maps the complement of U to the complement of a neighbourhood of 0.

Hence the image of $g: D \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g(z) := f(1/z)$ not dense. Therefore g has a pole at 0.

Biholomorphisms of the line

Proof.

If $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$, then $g(z) = \sum a_n z^{-n}$. Hence f is a polynomial. Since a complex polynomial of degree n has n zero (counted with multiplicity), but f is a bijection, we must have $f(z) = az^n$. Hence $n = 1$. □

The Riemann sphere

Definition

The *Riemann sphere* is the union $S := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

A function $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *holomorphic* if $f|_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and

$$g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad g(z) = \begin{cases} f(1/z) & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f(\infty) & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic.

Same idea holds for meromorphic functions.

The Riemann sphere

Lemma

A holomorphic function on the Riemann sphere is constant.

Proof.

Let f be a holomorphic function on S and write its power series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

Then

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{-n}$$

is holomorphic, hence $a_n = 0$ for $n > 0$. □

The Riemann sphere

Lemma

A meromorphic function on the Riemann sphere is a rational function.

Proof.

It is an exercise to show that if $f = p/q$ for p, q polynomials, then f is a meromorphic function on S .

Let f be a meromorphic function on S . Since S is compact and the poles of a meromorphic function must form a discrete set, f has finitely many poles, say z_1, \dots, z_n and maybe also ∞ .

Let m_i be the order of the pole z_i .

The Riemann sphere

Proof.

Consider the function

$$h(z) = f(z) \prod_i (z - z_i)^{m_i}.$$

Then h has only removable singularities on \mathbb{C} and maybe a pole at ∞ . Writing out the series expansion of h we have

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(1/z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{-n}$$

and h has a pole at infinity if and only if $a_n = 0$ for all but finitely many values of n .

The Riemann sphere

Proof.

That is h is a polynomial.

$$f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{\prod_i (z - z_i)^{m_i}}$$

is a rational function. □

The Riemann sphere

Lemma

Let p and q be polynomials and consider $f = p/q$. Then

- if $\deg(p) > \deg(q)$, f has a pole at ∞ of order $\deg(p) - \deg(q)$,*
- if $\deg(p) < \deg(q)$, f has a zero at ∞ of order $\deg(q) - \deg(p)$.*

In particular, the sum of the order of the zeros of a meromorphic function f on S is equal to the sum of the order of the poles of f .

The Riemann sphere

Lemma

The biholomorphisms of S with itself are maps of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad \text{with } ad - bc \neq 0.$$

Proof.

Case 1) $f(\infty) = \infty$. Then $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a biholomorphism and $f(z) = az + b = \frac{az+b}{0z+1}$ with $0 \neq a = ad - bc$.

The Riemann sphere

Proof.

Case 2) If $f(\infty) = z_0$ let $g: S \rightarrow S$ be $g(z) = \frac{1}{z-z_0}$.
Then g is a biholomorphism of S and $g \circ f(\infty) = \infty$, hence

$$cz + d = g \circ f(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - z_0}.$$

Resolving for $f(z)$:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{cz + d} + z_0 = \frac{cz_0z + 1 + dz_0}{cz + d}$$

