# Deligne's congruence and supersingular reduction of Drinfeld modules

## By

### GUNTHER CORNELISSEN

**Abstract** Most rank two Drinfeld modules are known to have infinitely many supersingular primes. But how many supersingular primes of a given degree can a fixed Drinfeld module have? In this paper, a congruence between the Hasse invariant and a certain Eisenstein series is used for obtaining a bound on the number of such supersingular primes. Certain exceptional cases correspond to zeros of certain Eisenstein series with rational *j*-invariants.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 11G09, 11F33

It appears to be Deligne who has first observed that the Hasse-invariant for a prime number p is congruent modulo p to the Eisenstein series of weight p-1 on  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  ([11],(2.1)). Said otherwise, the polynomial

$$P_p(X) = \prod_{E_{p-1}(z)=0} (X - j(z))$$

lifts the supersingular polynomial to characteristic zero. This observation brings to mind the following idea: if a rational elliptic curve has supersingular reduction at a prime p, then the Eisenstein series  $E_{p-1}$  acquires a zero modulo p at the complex point z whose j-invariant j = j(z) is that of the elliptic curve. This means that if the value  $P_p(j)$  is integral, then either

$$(*) |P_p(j)| \ge p$$

or z is a zero of  $E_{p-1}$ . If one can exclude the latter possibility (namely, that zeros of Eisenstein series have rational *j*-invariants), then (\*) provides a relation between *j* and *p* such that *j* is a supersingular invariant modulo *p*.

Unfortunately, I have not yet been able to make this idea produce meaningful information about elliptic curves. But, as was pointed out by E.-U. Gekeler ([6]), the Hasse invariant modulo a prime polynomial  $\mathfrak{p}$  for rank-two Drinfeld modules over the polynomial ring  $A = \mathbf{F}_q[T]$  is equally congruent to an appropriate Eisenstein series for GL(2, A). In this paper, I want to exploit the above idea to gain information on the arithmetic of function fields. It turns out to bound the number of primes of supersingular reduction of a fixed degree for a given Drinfeld module over A with "sufficiently small" *j*-invariant (what this means exactly will be stated below).

Let  $\phi: A \to \operatorname{End}(\mathbf{G}_a(\mathbf{F}_q(T)))$  be a ring morphism such that

$$\phi(T) = TX + gX^q + \Delta X^{q^2}, \text{ where } g \in A, \Delta \in A - \{0\},\$$

and we have identified the endomorphisms of the additive group scheme with additive polynomials in the variable X. Such  $\phi$  is called a (rank-two) Drinfeld module (defined over A), and for any prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in A$ , it makes sense to consider

the reduction of  $\phi$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ , obtained by reducing the coefficients g and  $\Delta$  of  $\phi$ . A prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  not dividing  $\Delta$  is called supersingular if the coefficient of  $X^{q^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})}}$  in  $\phi(\mathfrak{p})$  is divisible by  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let

$$j=\frac{g^{q+1}}{\Delta}$$
 and  $m(k)=\frac{q^k-q^{\chi(k)}}{q^2-1}$ 

where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function of the odd integers, i.e.  $\chi(2\mathbf{Z} + 1) = 1$ and  $\chi(2\mathbf{Z}) = 0$ . Let  $s_i(g, \Delta)$  be the number of monic primes of degree *i* which do not divide  $\Delta$  and which are supersingular for  $\phi$ .

**Theorem A.** If  $j \neq 0$  and  $j \neq T^q - T$ , then

 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} i s_i(g, \Delta) \le (m(k) + \chi(k)) \cdot \max\{q + \deg(\Delta), (q+1)\deg(g)\} + \chi(k)\deg(g).$ 

Furthermore, if j = 0, then an ordinary prime of A is supersingular if and only if it has odd degree. If  $j = T^q - T$ , then the formula holds for k > 2, but should be replaced by  $2s_2(g, \Delta) \leq q^2 - q$  for k = 2.

R e m a r k. The Drinfeld module  $\phi$  can also have good supersingular reduction at a prime dividing  $\Delta$ , in the correct (technical) sense to be defined below. But theorem A applies in particular to a global minimal model of  $\phi$ , for which the divisors of  $\Delta$  are the precisely the primes of bad reduction (*cf. infra*). So for a minimal model,  $s_i(g, \Delta)$  is really the number of supersingular primes of degree *i* for  $\phi$ .

For Drinfeld modules with integral *j*-invariants  $(j \in A)$ , there is a second version of this theorem. A typical example of such a Drinfeld module is given by  $\phi(T) = TX + jX^q + j^q X^{q^2}$ .

**Theorem B.** If  $j \in A$  and  $j \notin \{0, T^q - T\}$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} i(s_i(g, \Delta) - \varepsilon_i(g)) \le m(k) \cdot \max\{q, \deg j\},\$$

where  $\varepsilon_i(g)$  is the number of monic primes of degree *i* not dividing  $\Delta$  but dividing *g* if *i* is odd, and zero otherwise.

This formula is trivial if deg(j) is large (say, larger then  $q^3$ , since  $is_i(g, \Delta) \leq q^i$  and  $m(k) \approx q^{k-2}$ ), and produces only very weak asymptotics as k grows (of type: the fraction of primes of degree k which is supersingular remains bounded). It is known in general that the set of supersingular primes  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  of a Drinfeld module without complex multiplication has density zero, but is infinite of asymptotic order  $\gg \log \log x$  for  $q^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})} < x$ , at least for the infinite class of so-called non-exceptional Drinfeld modules. (Brown [1], David [2]). On the other hand, Poonen has constructed Drinfeld modules without supersingular primes, and at the same time corrected the notion of exceptional module, as a miscalculation occurred in the work of Brown ([12]).

The statements in theorem A and B are of a *non-asymptotic* sort. They typically produce corollaries of the following type:

**Example A.** If a Drinfeld module has supersingular reduction at no primes of degree one and at all primes of degree two, then (1) if deg  $j \leq q$ , either  $j = T^q - T$  or deg  $\Delta \geq q^2 - 2q$ ; (2) if deg j > q then deg $(g) \geq (q^2 - q)/(q + 1)$ .

**Example B.** For an integral *j*-invariant of degree deg j < q, the number of supersingular primes of degree 2 is less then q/2, and if this bound is attained, the number of supersingular primes of degree 3 not dividing g is less then or equal to  $q^2/3$ .

To any Drinfeld module  $\phi$  over A one can associate a two-dimensional p-adic Galois representation of the separable Galois group of K given by its action on the Tate module

$$\lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} \{x \in C : \phi(\mathfrak{p}^n)(x) = 0\}$$

of  $\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}$ -division points of  $\phi$ . The above theorems impose restrictions on the local structure (the image of the decomposition group) at  $\mathfrak{p}$  of such representations, *e.g.*: for Drinfeld modules with integral *j*-invariants of degree less then *q*, the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic representation is not ordinary at  $\mathfrak{p}$  for at most  $\approx 1/q$  primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of any fixed degree.

That the elliptic point j = 0 occurs separately in the theorem comes as no surprise, but the invariant  $j = T^q - T$  seems to be more mysterious.

**1. Drinfeld modules and modular forms** We give the basic definitions and fix notations. The existence of global minimal models for Drinfeld modules is shown.

(1.1) Function Fields. Let  $A = \mathbf{F}_q[T]$  be the polynomial ring over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$  of q(> 2) elements, K its quotient field, and  $K_{\infty}$  and Crespectively its completion w.r.t. the valuation – deg, and the completion of an algebraic closure of  $K_{\infty}$ .

We will denote  $T^{q^i} - T$  by the symbol  $\langle i \rangle$ . It equals the product of all irreducibles in A of degree dividing i ([9]).

(1.2) Drinfeld modules ([3]). Let L be a field with a morphism  $i : A \to L$ . A (rank-two) Drinfeld (A-)module over L is a ring morphism

$$\phi: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End} \mathbf{G}_a(L)$$
  
 $T \mapsto i(T)X + gX^q + \Delta X^{q^2},$ 

where  $g = g(\phi) \in L, \Delta = \Delta(\phi) \in L^*$ , and we have identified the endomorphisms of the additive group scheme with additive polynomials in the variable X.

A morphism u of two Drinfeld modules  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  is an element  $u \in \text{End } \mathbf{G}_a(L)$ such that  $\phi \circ u = u \circ \psi$ . Two Drinfeld modules are isomorphic over the algebraic closure  $\overline{L}$  if and only if  $j(\phi) = j(\psi)$ , where  $j(\phi) = g^{q+1}/\Delta$  is called the *j*-invariant of  $\phi$ .

(1.3) Supersingularity ([4], [7]). The ring of endomorphisms of a Drinfeld module  $\phi$  over  $\overline{L}$  is either A, an order in an imaginary extension of K(imaginary means that the valuation – deg is inert), or a maximal order in a quaternion algebra over K which is ramified at a unique finite prime. The latter case can only occur if i is not injective, and then we call  $\phi$  supersingular. It turns out that

$$\phi(\ker(i)) = lX^{q^{\deg(\ker(i))}} + (\text{higher degree terms}),$$

and  $\phi$  is supersingular if and only if l = 0.

(1.4) Reduction of Drinfeld modules. A Drinfeld module  $\phi$  over a complete local field L with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is called minimal if its coefficients  $g, \Delta$  are integral and the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -valuation of  $\Delta$  is minimal within the L-isomorphism class of  $\phi$ . We denote by  $\phi^{\min}$  a minimal Drinfeld module belonging to  $\phi$ . A Drinfeld module  $\phi$  is said to have good reduction over L if  $\mathfrak{m}$  does not divide  $\Delta(\phi^{\min})$ , and it then makes sense to consider the reduction of  $\phi^{\min}$  (obtained by reducing the coefficients) as a Drinfeld module over the residue field of L, where the map i from (1.2) is the reduction map modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ . We say that a Drinfeld module  $\phi$  over L has supersingular reduction modulo a prime  $\mathfrak{m}$  of good reduction if the reduction of a minimal model  $\phi^{\min}$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$  is supersingular.

Now suppose that  $\phi$  is a Drinfeld module defined over the global field K, and assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of A. The  $\phi$  is said to have good (resp. supersingular) reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  if it has good (resp. supersingular) reduction when considered over the completion  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of K at  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

(1.4.1) Lemma For any Drinfeld module  $\phi$  defined over A, there exists a minimal model  $\phi'$  over A within the K-isomorphism class of  $\phi$ , in the sense that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Delta(\phi')) = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Delta(\phi^{\min}/K_{\mathfrak{m}}))$$

for all  $\mathfrak{m}$  in A.

Proof. Assume that  $u_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is the isomorphism of  $\phi/K_{\mathfrak{m}}$  with its minimal model  $\phi^{\min}/K_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then

$$\Delta(\phi) = u_{\mathfrak{m}}^{q^2 - 1} \Delta(\phi^{\min}/K_{\mathfrak{m}}),$$

and  $u_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is non-zero for only finitely many  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If we set

$$u = \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}^{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{m}}(u_{\mathfrak{m}})},$$

then  $\phi' = u \circ \phi \circ u^{-1}$  is the desired global minimal model.

Observe that a minimal Drinfeld module  $\phi$  has good reduction at a prime **p** if and only if **p** does not divide the discriminant  $\Delta$  of  $\phi$ . This means that for a minimal Drinfeld module,  $s_i(g, \Delta)$  is the total number of supersingular primes of degree *i*.

For a Drinfeld module which is not necessarily minimal, a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  which doesn't divide  $\Delta$  is a prime of good reduction. For such  $\mathfrak{p}$ 

$$\phi(\mathfrak{p}) = l_{\mathfrak{p}} X^{q^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})}} + \text{ (higher degree terms) mod } \mathfrak{p},$$

and hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of supersingular reduction if and only if  $l_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \mod \mathfrak{p}$ .

(1.5) Eisenstein series ([3], [8], [5]). The space  $\Omega = C - K_{\infty}$  can be given the structure of a rigid analytic space, and w.r.t. it the function

$$E_l(z): \Omega \to C : \sum_{(0,0) \neq (a,b) \in A} (\frac{1}{az+b})^l$$

is a holomorphic modular form for the action of GL(2, A) on  $\Omega$  given by fractional transformations (with the appropriate notion of analyticity at the the cusp  $\infty$ ). It is non-zero if l is divisible by q - 1.

There is a correspondence between Drinfeld modules over C and rank-two A-lattices  $\Lambda = Az \oplus A$  in C ( $z \in \Omega$ ) given by  $\phi \mapsto z$  if for all  $a \in A$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\phi(a)(e_{\Lambda}(\omega)) = e_{\Lambda}(a\omega)$ , where

$$e_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \omega \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{(0,0)\}} (1 - \frac{\omega}{\lambda}))$$

Via this correspondence, the coefficients g and  $\Delta$  of the Drinfeld module become modular forms of the variable z, if we let z vary in  $\Omega$ . As a matter of fact, the ring of modular forms for GL(2, A) is the free C-algebra spanned by g and  $\Delta$ , hence  $E_l$  is a polynomial in g and  $\Delta$ .

**2. Deligne's congruence** As above, let  $\phi$  be a Drinfeld module defined over A and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime of degree k which does not divide  $\Delta$ . Let  $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(g, \Delta)$  be the coefficient of  $X^{q^k}$  in  $\phi(\mathfrak{p})$ .

Let  $A_k(X, Y)$  denote the two-variable polynomial such that

$$\forall z \in \Omega: \ (-1)^{k+1} (\prod_{i \le k} \langle i \rangle) E_{q^k - 1}(z) = A_k(g(z), \Delta(z)).$$

(2.1) Lemma The polynomials  $A_k$  satisfy the two term recursion relation

$$A_{k} = X^{q^{k-1}} A_{k-1} - \langle k-1 \rangle Y^{q^{k-2}} A_{k-2}$$

with starting values  $A_{-1} = 0, A_0 = 1$ . Hence they are defined over A.

P r o o f. (Sketch – [6], (12.2)) It follows from the corresponding identity for Eisenstein series, which in its turn follows from the fact that the Eisenstein series are coefficients in the expansion of the lattice function  $e_{Az\oplus A}$  at infinity (like Eisenstein series for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  are coefficients in the expansion of the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function).

(2.2) Lemma ("Deligne's congruence") The congruence  $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(g, \Delta) \equiv A_k(g, \Delta) \mod \mathfrak{p}$  holds for any  $\mathfrak{p}$  of degree k in A.

P r o o f. (Sketch – [6], (12.3)) It follows because both sides as modular forms modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  reduce to 1, and because  $A_k(X, Y) - 1$  is the only relation in the ring of modular forms modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

This congruence is remarkable in so far that, whereas the "Hasse-invariant"  $l_{\mathfrak{p}}$  depends on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , its lift via Deligne's congruence depends only on the degree k of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

(2.3) Lemma The congruences  $A_n \equiv A_{n-k}^{q^k} A_k \mod \mathfrak{p}$  hold for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of degree k.

P r o o f. Using the fact that  $\langle n-1 \rangle \equiv \langle n-k-1 \rangle^{q^k} \mod \mathfrak{p}$ , it follows inductively from the recursion (2.1).

**3.** *j*-invariants of zeros of Eisenstein series Define the polynomial  $P_k$  by the formula

$$A_k(X,Y) = P_k(\frac{X^{q+1}}{Y})Y^{m(k)}X^{\chi(k)}.$$

Denote the coefficients of  $P_k$  by  $c_i^{(k)}$ , *i.e.* 

$$P_k(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m(k)} c_i^{(k)} Z^{m(k)-i},$$

where m(k) is as in the introduction.

(3.1) Lemma The coefficients  $c_i^{(k)}$  are integral (i.e., in A),  $c_0^{(k)} \neq 0$  and  $c_{m(k)}^{(k)} = 1$  for all k > 0. If a coefficient  $c_i^{(k)}$  is non-zero, then it is of exact degree  $q_i$ . All roots of  $P_k$  have degree q.

P r o o f. The recursion (2.1) translates into

(3.1.1) 
$$P_k(Z) = Z^{d(k)} P_{k-1}(Z) - \langle k-1 \rangle P_{k-2}(Z)$$
 where  $d(k) = \frac{q^{k-1} + (-1)^k}{q+1}$ .

From this, the statement about the leading and constant term follows inductively. It is easy to prove that d(k) > m(k-2), and hence the following recursion holds for the coefficients:

$$c_i^{(k)} = \begin{cases} c_i^{(k-1)} & \text{if } i < m(k-2) \\ -\langle k-1 \rangle c_{m(k-2)-m(k)+i}^{(k-2)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From this, it follows by induction that a non-zero  $c_i^{(k)}$  has degree qi, since  $m(k-2) - m(k) = -q^{k-2}$ .

The Newton polygon for the valuation  $-\deg$  is then a straight line of slope q, and hence the statement about the roots of  $P_k$  holds.  $\Box$ 

(3.2) R e m a r k. The polynomial  $P_k$  is such that

$$(-1)^{k+1} (\prod_{i \le k} \langle i \rangle) E_{q^{k}-1}(z) = g(z)^{\chi(k)} \Delta(z)^{m(k)} P_k(j(z))$$

for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Since  $\Delta$  is non-zero on  $\Omega$ , this means that the zeros of  $P_k$  are exactly the non-zero *j*-invariants of the zeros of the Eisenstein series  $E_{q^k-1}$ .

(3.3) Lemma If  $P_k$  has a rational zero (i.e.,  $P_k(a) = 0$  for some  $a \in K$ ), then k = 2 and  $a = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

Assume that  $P_k(a) = 0$  for some  $a \in K$ . Since  $P_k$  is an integral Proof. equation over A, we have  $a \in A$ . Also, since all coefficients  $c_i^{(k)}$  for  $i \neq m(k)$  are divisible by  $\langle 1 \rangle$ , a has to be divisible by  $\langle 1 \rangle$ . But a has degree q by (3.1), it has to be of the form  $a = \alpha \cdot \langle 1 \rangle$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$  (note that  $a \neq 0$  since  $c_0^{(k)} \neq 0$ ). By considering the recursion (3.1.1) for  $P_k$  modulo primes of degree k - 1,

it follows that all such primes divide  $(\alpha \langle 1 \rangle)^{d(k)} P_{k-1}(\alpha \langle 1 \rangle)$ . Hence if k > 2

$$\prod_{\deg(\mathfrak{p})=k-1} \mathfrak{p} \text{ divides } P_{k-1}(\alpha \langle 1 \rangle).$$

If N denotes the number of such primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then the left hand side has degree (k-1)N, whereas if  $P_{k-1}(a) \neq 0$ , the degree of the right hand side is bounded from above by qm(k-1), since we know the degree of the coefficients  $c_i^{(k-1)}$  by the previous lemma. But

$$(k-1)N = q^{k-1} + (\text{lower terms in } q \text{ with coefficients } \pm 1)$$
  
>  $qm(k-1) = q^{k-2} + (\text{lower terms in } q \text{ with coefficients } 1)$ 

(consider the two numbers as written down in their q-adic expansion, q > 2).

It follows that  $P_{k-1}(a) = 0$  too, and by applying the recursion (3.1.1) repeatedly in the opposite direction, it would follow that  $P_1(a) = 0$ , whereas  $P_1 = 1$ . From this contradiction, we conclude that k = 2, and since  $P_2(Z) = Z - \langle 1 \rangle$ , also that  $a = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

#### 4. Proofs of theorem A and theorem B

(4.1) Proof of theorem A. Assume that the Drinfeld module  $\phi$  has supersingular reduction modulo  $s_i$  primes of degree  $i \leq k$  which do not divide  $\Delta$ , and denote the set of these primes by  $S_i = \{\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}^{(i)}\}_{\alpha=1}^{s_i}$ . Then for any  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $S_i$ , we have  $l_{\mathfrak{p}}(g, \Delta) \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{p}$ , hence by (2.2), any such prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  divides  $A_i(g, \Delta)$ . From the congruences (2.3), we conclude that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s_i} \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}^{(i)} \text{ divides } A_k(g, \Delta) = g^{\chi(k)} \sum_{i=0}^k c_i^{(k)} g^{(q+1)(m(k)-i)} \Delta^i.$$

The degree of the left hand side equals  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} is_i$ . On the other hand, using (3.1), we find that if  $A_k(g, \Delta) \neq 0$ , the degree of the right hand side is bounded by

$$\max\{[q + \deg(\Delta) - (q+1)\deg(g)]i\} + [(q+1)m(k) + \chi(k)]\deg(g).$$

Since for  $i \in \{0, m(k)\}$  the coefficient  $c_i^{(k)}$  is non-zero, this maximum is attained for one of these values, and a bit of computation shows that

$$\deg(A_k(g,\Delta)) \le m(k) \max\{q + \deg(\Delta), (q+1)\deg(g)\} + \chi(k)\deg(g).$$

Hence either the inequality in theorem A holds, or  $A_k(g, \Delta) = 0$ .

Assume first of all that g = 0. Then

$$A_k(0,\Delta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ \prod_{l=1}^{k/2} \langle 2l-1 \rangle \Delta^{m(k)} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Since primes of even degree do not divide  $\langle 2l-1 \rangle$ , we see that exactly the primes of odd degree are supersingular for  $\phi$ .

If  $A_k(g, \Delta) = 0$  and  $g \neq 0$ , it follows that  $P_k(j) = 0$  for  $j = g^{q+1}/\Delta \in K$ . Then from (3.3) we conclude that  $j = \langle 1 \rangle$  and k = 2. So in that case, the formula has to be replaced by  $2s_2 \leq q^2 - q$ . This finishes the proof of theorem A.

(4.2) P r o o f o f t h e o r e m B. Assume that the primes in  $S_i$  are arranged in such a way that if *i* is odd, the divisors of *g* are the last  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i(g)$  elements in  $S_i$ . If *j* is integral, we find in a similar way (eliminating the divisors of *g* and  $\Delta$ ) that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s_i-\varepsilon_i} \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}^{(i)} \text{ divides } P_k(j).$$

Unless it is zero, the right hand side has its degree bounded from above by  $m(k) \cdot \max\{q, \deg(j)\}$ , and one can proceed as in the proof of theorem A.  $\Box$ 

A c k n o w l e d g m e n t. The author is post-doctoral fellow of the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders (FWO - Vlaanderen). This work was done while visiting the MPIM.

#### References

- M.L. BROWN, Singular moduli and supersingular moduli of Drinfeld modules, Invent. Math. 110 (1992), 419–439.
- [2] C. DAVID, Supersingular reduction of Drinfeld modules, Duke Math. J. 78 (1995), no. 2, 399–412.
- [3] V.G. DRINFELD, Elliptic modules, Math. Sb. 94 (1974), 594–627 (Math. U.S.S.R. Sb. 23, nr. 4 (1976), 561–592).
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Elliptic modules II, Math. Sb. 102 (1977), 182–194 (Math. U.S.S.R. Sb. 31, nr. 2 (1977), 159–170).
- [5] E.-U. GEKELER, Drinfeld modular curves, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1231, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1986.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, On the coefficients of Drinfeld modular forms, Invent. Math. 93 (1988), 667–700.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, On finite Drinfeld modules, J. Algebra **141** (1991), 187–203.
- [8] D. Goss, Modular forms for  $F_r[T]$ , J. Reine Angew. Math. **317** (1980), 16–39.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Basic structures of function field arithmetic, Ergebn. der Math., vol. 35, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1996.
- [10] M. KANEKO AND D. ZAGIER, Supersingular *j*-invariants, hypergeometric series and Atkin's orthogonal polynomials, A.M.S./I.P. Studies in Adv. Math. 7 (1998), 97–126.
- [11] N. KATZ, p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms, in: Modular forms of one variable III, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 350, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1972, pp. 69–190.
- [12] B. POONEN, Drinfeld modules with no supersingular primes, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 3 (1998), 151–159.

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26 D-53225 Bonn (current) University of Gent Dept. of Pure Mathematics Galglaan 2 B-9000 Gent

e-mail gc@cage.rug.ac.be