

The last exercise is to be handed in at the beginning of the next lecture.

5. Let $(V, +, 0, \cdot)$ be a vector space of finite dimension $\dim V = n$. Show that an associative unital algebra $(\Lambda, +, 0, \cdot, \wedge, 1)$ together with a linear mapping $\varphi : V \rightarrow \Lambda$ are uniquely determined — up to canonical isomorphism — by the two properties

$$(i) \quad \bigwedge_{v \in V} \varphi(v) \wedge \varphi(v) = 0 \quad (\text{so } \varphi(w) \wedge \varphi(v) = -\varphi(v) \wedge \varphi(w))$$

- (ii) for every linear mapping $h : V \rightarrow A$ into an associative unital algebra $(A, +, 0, \cdot, *, 1)$ satisfying

$$\bigwedge_{v \in V} h(v) * h(v) = 0$$

there is a unique 1-preserving algebra-homomorphism $\hat{h} : \Lambda \rightarrow A$ with $\hat{h} \circ \varphi = h$.

6. Denote by $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\{1, \dots, n\})$ the set of all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and by $\{e_T \mid T \in \mathcal{P}\}$ a fixed basis of \mathbb{R}^{2^n} . For $r, s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ put

$$\sigma(r, s) := \begin{cases} 1 & r < s \\ 0 & \text{if } r = s \\ -1 & r > s \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tau(R, S) := \prod_{r \in R} \prod_{s \in S} \sigma(r, s)$$

for $R, S \in \mathcal{P}$. Show that

$$e_R \wedge e_S := \tau(R, S) \cdot e_{R \cup S}$$

turns \mathbb{R}^{2^n} into an associative unital algebra $\Lambda(e_T \mid T \in \mathcal{P})$ with $e_\emptyset = 1$. Check that

$$\bigwedge_{i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} e_{\{j\}} \wedge e_{\{i\}} = -e_{\{i\}} \wedge e_{\{j\}}$$

and that

$$e_T = e_{\{i_1\}} \wedge e_{\{i_2\}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\{i_k\}}$$

for all $T = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Let V be a vector space with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Prove that $\Lambda := \Lambda(e_T \mid T \in \mathcal{P})$ together with $\varphi : V \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by $\varphi(e_i) = e_{\{i\}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ satisfy (i) and (ii) of the previous exercise.

This unique algebra $\Lambda = \Lambda(V)$ is called the *exterior (or Grassmann) algebra* of V . Generalize from \mathbb{R} to any field K . Can you also generalize to a ring R ? How important is the assumption of finite dimension?