# Forcings SAMOC and ITAMOC runs

# Michael Kliphuis

This document describes the forcings that were used for each of the SAMOC and ITAMOC runs. It was written to once and for all make sure that we use the correct forcings.

The next table shows the Surface Heat Flux (SHF) and the Surface Freshwater Flux (SFWF) files and the formulations that were used for each of the SAMOC runs. For all runs except for the control run both the sfwf and shf files have a +f or +flux in the filename. This means that we use applied flux instead of restoring under "ice". Mat ran the original control run from year 75 for 5 years with this restoring term on for both T and S and diagnosed the implied flux. He then made a monthly 5-year average of this and that is the +f or +flux term. The +g8 term in the sfwf forcing file stand for the added freshwater around Greenland.

SAMOC runs								
Run	Description	SHF forcing file *	SHF	SFWF forcing file *	SFWF			
			formulation		formulation			
control	control run	shf.normal_year+Hurrell.monthly	normal-year	sfwf.CORE+runoff.monthly	bulk-NCEP,			
	(at LANL)				runoff			
mixed_bc	Mixed bc run	shf.NY+H+f.mon	normal-	sfwf.CORE+runoff+flux_	bulk-NCEP,			
	(at LANL)		year+flux	mixed_bc.monthly	runoff_and_flux			
run_henk	Mixed bc run	shf.NY+H+f.mon	normal-	sfwf.CORE+runoff+flux_	bulk-NCEP,			
	(at IMAU)		year+flux	mixed_bc.monthly	runoff_and_flux			
prod_run1	First 0.1 Sv	shf.NY+H+f.mon	normal-	sfwf.C+r+g8+f.mon	bulk-NCEP,			
	Greenland		year+flux		runoff_and_flux			
	production run,							
	wrong							
	sw_mod_fact=0.875							
	(at IMAU)							
prod_run2_mat	Correct 0.1 Sv	shf.NY+H+f.mon	normal-	sfwf.C+r+g8+f.mon	bulk-NCEP,			
	Greenland		year+flux		runoff_and_flux			
	production run (yr							
	75-115 at LANL,							
	115-125 at IMAU)							
prod_run3_0.5Sv	0.5 Sv Greenland	shf.NY+H+f.mon	normal-	sfwf.CORE+runoff+	bulk-NCEP,			
	production run		year+flux	greenland_0.5Sv+flux.monthly	runoff_and_flux			
	(at IMAU)							

<sup>\*</sup> The forcing files of IMAU runs file are located on Huygens in /home/klipdccp/samoc/scripts/\$Run/files\_mat/forcing

The next table shows the Surface Heat Flux (SHF) and the Surface Freshwater Flux (SFWF) files and the formulations that were used for each of the ITAMOC runs.

ITAMOC runs								
Run	Description	SHF forcing file *	SHF	SFWF forcing file *	SFWF			
			formulation		formulation			
run_clim_closeditf	Run with closed	shf.NY+H+f.mon	normal-	sfwf.CORE+runoff+flux_	bulk-NCEP,			
	Indonesian		year+flux	mixed_bc.monthly	runoff_and_flux			
	ThroughFlow (ITF)							
	and climatological							
	(monthly mean)							
	forcing (at Hector,							
	in Edinburgh)							

All the SAMOC and ITAMOC runs are forced with the same windspeed file:  $ws.o\_n\_avg.mon$ .

## **SHF** forcing fields

The binary SHF forcing file contains the following fields:

```
recs 1-12: restoring SST (C) (used only to determine location of sea ice) recs 13-24: 10m atmospheric temperature (K) recs 25-36: 10m atmospheric humidity (kg/kg) recs 37-48: downward shortwave (W/m^2) recs 49-60: downward longwave (W/m^2) recs 61:72: 10m atmospheric wind speed (m/s) recs 73:84: Applied restoring heat flux (W/m^2)
```

Each field has 12 records representing the mean of each month in the climatology only files with a +f or +flux in the filename contain the last 12 records 73-84 (i.e only the runs with formulation = normal-year+flux)

### SFWF forcing fields

The binary SHF forcing file contains the following fields:

```
recs 1-12: restoring SSS (psu)
recs 13-24: precipitation (m/y)
recs 25-36: runoff (kg/m2/s)
recs 37-48: applied restoring S flux (kg/m2/s)
```

Each field has 12 records representing the mean of each month in the climatology only files with a +f or +flux in the filename contain the last 12 records 37-48 (i.e only the runs with formulation = runoff\_and\_flux)

Below all fields in the SHF and SFWF forcing files are plotted for each run described in the tables above.

Also shown are the differences between runs:

```
    prod_run3_0_5Sv - control
    prod_run2_mat - control
    run_henk - control (run_henk = the mixed_bc run)
    prod_run3_0_5Sv - run_henk
    prod_run2_mat - run_henk
    prod_run3_0_5Sv - prod_run2_mat
```

These plots were automatically generated with the following scripts on Huygens: ~/samoc/scripts/old/mike/extract\_field/samoc\_itamoc\_sfwf\_shf\_plots/SHF/check\_shf.sc ~/samoc/scripts/old/mike/extract\_field/samoc\_itamoc\_sfwf\_shf\_plots/SFWF/check\_sfwf.sc

### Conclusion

From the plots we can conclude that all SHF forcing fields are the same for each of the SAMOC as well as the ITAMOC runs. The control run has one field less in its forcing file (Applied restoring heat flux).

We can also conclude that the SFWF forcing fields are also the same for each of the SAMOC and ITAMOC runs except for the runoff field. The dumping of freshwater around Greenland in the prod\_run2\_mat (0.1 Sv) run and the prod\_run3\_0.5Sv (0.5 Sv) runs is done via the runoff field. This is the +g8 term in the first table above. The runoff field of these runs is the same as the runoff of the control run except for the Greenland region. Around Greenland the runoff of prod\_run3\_0.5Sv was expected to be exactly 5 times the runoff of prod\_run2\_mat but as the plots show there appear to be some roundoff issues.