Geometric Problems with Imprecise Input Points

Maarten Löffler Marc van Kreveld



Center for Geometry, Imaging and Virtual Environments

Utrecht University

Overview

Introduction

- Geometric problems
- Imprecise input points
- Overview of problems and results

Algorithms

- Largest diameter of squares
- Smallest diameter of squares
- Concluding remarks

- Given a set P of n points in the plane
- Geometric structures:

P



- Given a set P of n points in the plane
- Geometric structures:
 - Bounding box



- Geometric structures:
 - Bounding box
 - Diameter

P



- Given a set P of n points in the plane
- Geometric structures:
 - Bounding box
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull



- Given a set P of n points in the plane
- Geometric structures:
 - Bounding box
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull
 - Minimum spanning tree



- Given a set P of n points in the plane
- Geometric structures:
 - Bounding box
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull
 - Minimum spanning tree
- Many others
- Optimal algorithms are known

Imprecision

- Traditional algorithms assume exact input
- In practice, input data is often not exact
 - Measured from the real world
 - Stored with limited precision
 - Computed by inexact algorithms
- Output of traditional algorithms is unreliable
- Given exact description of input imprecision, we can exactly predict output imprecision



- Unknown location
- Known region of possible locations
- Regions are simple geometric objects
 - Disc
 - Square
 - Rectangle
 - Convex polygon





- Unknown location
- Known region of possible locations
- Regions are simple geometric objects
 - Disc
 - Square
 - Rectangle
 - Convex polygon



•	

- Unknown location
- Known region of possible locations
- Regions are simple geometric objects
 - Disc
 - Square
 - Rectangle
 - Convex polygon





- Unknown location
- Known region of possible locations
- Regions are simple geometric objects
 - Disc
 - Square
 - Rectangle
 - Convex polygon





- Unknown location
- Known region of possible locations
- Regions are simple geometric objects
 - Disc
 - Square
 - Rectangle
 - Convex polygon



- Given a set \mathcal{L} of n imprecise points
- Consider the same geometric structures
- Multiple possibilities
- True structure is unknown
- We want to capture the imprecision in the output



- Given a set \mathcal{L} of n imprecise points
- Consider the same geometric structures
- Multiple possibilities
- True structure is unknown
- We want to capture the imprecision in the output



- Given a set \mathcal{L} of n imprecise points
- Consider the same geometric structures
- Multiple possibilities
- True structure is unknown
- We want to capture the imprecision in the output



- Given a set \mathcal{L} of n imprecise points
- Consider the same geometric structures
- Multiple possibilities
- True structure is unknown
- We want to capture the imprecision in the output



- Measure function $\mu: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathbb{R}$
- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter



- Measure function $\mu: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathbb{R}$
- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull perimeter



- Measure function $\mu: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathbb{R}$
- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull perimeter



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull perimeter



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull perimeter
 - MST weight



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull perimeter
 - MST weight



- Largest and smallest possible values of μ
- Output imprecision
- For example
 - Bounding box area
 - Diameter
 - Convex hull perimeter
 - MST weight

Results

Bounding box

- Largest area or perimeter, squares or discs
- Smallest area or perimeter, squares
- Smallest area or perimeter, discs
- Smallest enclosing circle
 - Largest or smallest radius, squares or discs (

Convex hull

- Largest area, disjoint squares
- Smallest area, squares
- Largest perimeter, disjoint squares
- Smallest perimeter, squares

O(n)

O(n)

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n^{\gamma})$

 $O(n^2)$

 $O(n^{10})$

 $(n \log n)$

P(n)

Results

Diameter

- Largest diameter, squares or discs
- Smallest diameter, squares
- Smallest diameter, discs

• Width

- Smallest width, squares or discs
- Largest width, line segments
- Largest width, squares or discs

Closest pair

- Smallest distance, squares or discs
- Largest distance, squares or discs

 $O(n \log n)$ $O(n \log n)$ $O(n^{c\varepsilon^{-1}})$

 $O(n \log n)$ NP-hard ?

 $O(n \log n)$ NP-hard

Results

- Minimum spanning tree
 - Smallest weight, squares or discs
 - Largest weight, squares or discs

• Tours

- Shortest tour, sequence of squares
- Longest tour, sequence of squares
- Existence of simple tour, any sequence

O(n)O(n)NP-hard

NP-hard

Diameter

- Diameter of imprecise points, square model
- Largest diameter
 - Place two points as far away as possible
 - Relatively easy
 - Optimal $O(n \log n)$ algorithm
- Smallest diameter
 - Place all points as close together as possible
 - Much harder
 - $O(n^2)$ algorithm
 - Optimal $O(n \log n)$ algorithm



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S





- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S

 Compute the diameter of all corners

- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Compute the diameter of all corners
- If the computed points belong to different regions, we are happy
- Otherwise, they are diagonally opposite corners of one big square S



- Diameter determined by two corners of ${\cal S}$
- Two possible cases
- $\bullet~{\rm Either}~S~{\rm contributes}$
 - Try all corner pairs
 - Only a linear number
- Or it does not
 - Compute diameter of remaining corners
 - Points cannot belong to one square again

Smallest Diameter



- Diameter could be determined by more pairs simultaneously
- The pairs form a *star*
- Bends only occur at axis-extreme squares
- Reflection angles must be less than 90°

Consequence: at most two bends

 $O(n^2)$ Algorithm

- The optimal star has at most two bends
- Compute the star for every subset of:
 - Two axis-extreme squares
 - And two other squares
- There are $O(n^2)$ stars to be computed
- The largest among these is the optimal star
- \bullet We now know the optimal diameter d and the star that defines it
- We still need to place points in all regions



- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
 - This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know d
- Let R be axis-extreme
- Valid placements in Rare at most d away from any other square
- Place axis-extreme points validly and at most d away from each other
- This is sufficient



- We know the axis-extreme points
- Move rest of points towards the middle
- Optimal for given axis-extreme points
- Solution of d exists
- Therefore, the resulting point set must have diameter d



- We know the axis-extreme points
- Move rest of points towards the middle
- Optimal for given axis-extreme points
- Solution of d exists
- Therefore, the resulting point set must have diameter d



- We know the axis-extreme points
- Move rest of points towards the middle
- Optimal for given axis-extreme points
- Solution of d exists
- Therefore, the resulting point set must have diameter d



- We know the axis-extreme points
- Move rest of points towards the middle
- Optimal for given axis-extreme points
- Solution of d exists
- Therefore, the resulting point set must have diameter d

Concluding Remarks

- Simple geometric problems become really interesting when input points are imprecise
- Largest and smallest diameter for squares can be computed efficiently
- Open problems
 - Largest width problem
 - Several variants of the convex hull
 - Third dimension?



Questions?