

# Seminar Constructible Set Theory: Handout 7

Anton Golov & Mireia Martínez i Sellarès

April 16, 2018

Today, we will look at the Souslin Problem: a question about whether certain conditions are sufficient to characterize the real number up to order-isomorphism. The Souslin problem cannot be solved in  $\mathbf{ZFC} + \mathbf{GCH}$ , but can be solved in  $\mathbf{ZF} + V = L$ .

## 1 Ordered Sets and $\mathbb{R}$

**Definition 1.** A *densely ordered set* is a linearly ordered set  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  such that whenever  $x, z \in X$  and  $x < z$ , there is a  $y \in X$  such that  $x < y < z$ .

We will sometimes denote  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  simply by  $X$ .

**Definition 2.** An *interval* in a linearly ordered set  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  is a subset of  $X$  of the form

$$(x, z) = \{y \in X \mid x < y < z\}.$$

**Definition 3.** An *ordered continuum* is a densely ordered set such that every non-empty subset of every interval has an infimum and a supremum.

**Definition 4.** A linearly ordered set is said to be *open* if it has no end-points.

**Definition 5.** A subset  $Y$  of a densely ordered set  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  is said to be *dense* in  $X$  if for any  $x, z \in X$  such that  $x < z$  there is a  $y \in Y$  such that  $x < y < z$ .

**Theorem 1 (Cantor).** *Every open, ordered continuum containing a countable dense subset is order-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

## 2 The Souslin Property and Souslin's Hypothesis

**Definition 6.** We say a linearly ordered set  $X$  has the *Souslin Property* if every set of pairwise disjoint, non-empty intervals of  $X$  is countable.

The Souslin Hypothesis states that every open, ordered continuum with the Souslin Property is order-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The Souslin Hypothesis holds iff every densely ordered set with the Souslin Property has a countable dense subset.*

Souslin's Hypothesis is independent of ZFC. However, it fails when  $V = L$ .

## 3 Trees

**Definition 7.** A *tree* is a partially ordered set  $\mathbf{T} = \langle T, \leq_T \rangle$  such that for every  $x \in T$ , the set

$$\hat{x} = \{y \in T \mid y <_T x\}$$

is well-ordered by  $\leq_T$ .

**Definition 8.** The *height* of an element  $x$  in a tree  $\mathbf{T}$  is the order-type of  $\hat{x}$  under  $<_T$ ; that is, the unique ordinal  $\alpha$  such that there is an order-isomorphism between  $\hat{x}$  and  $\alpha$ .

We denote the height by  $ht_{\mathbf{T}}(x)$ .

**Definition 9.** A *level* of a tree  $\mathbf{T}$  is the set containing all elements of a certain height.

For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , we denote the  $\alpha$ -th level of  $\mathbf{T}$  by  $T_\alpha$ ; this is the set

$$T_\alpha = \{x \in T \mid ht_{\mathbf{T}}(x) = \alpha\}.$$

We use  $T \upharpoonright \alpha$  to denote  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} T_\beta$  and  $\mathbf{T} \upharpoonright \alpha$  for the restriction of the structure  $\mathbf{T}$  to this set.

**Definition 10.** A *branch* of  $\mathbf{T}$  is a downwards-closed linearly ordered subset  $b$  of  $T$ . A branch is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in any other branch. For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , an  $\alpha$ -*branch* is a branch with order-type  $\alpha$ .

By the Axiom of Choice, every branch can be extended to a maximal branch.

**Definition 11.** An *antichain* of  $\mathbf{T}$  is a subset  $c$  of  $T$  such that for all distinct  $x, y \in c$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  are not comparable. An antichain is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in any other antichain.

By the Axiom of Choice, every antichain can be extended to a maximal antichain.

**Definition 12.** Let  $\theta$  be an ordinal and  $\lambda$  a cardinal. A tree  $\mathbf{T}$  is a  $(\theta, \lambda)$ -*tree* if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $(\forall \alpha < \theta)(T_\alpha \neq \emptyset)$ ;
- (ii)  $T_\theta = \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $(\forall \alpha < \theta)(|T_\alpha| < \lambda)$ .

**Definition 13.** A tree  $\mathbf{T}$  has *unique limits* if whenever  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and  $x, y \in T_\alpha$ , if  $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$  then  $x = y$ .

**Definition 14.** A  $(\theta, \lambda)$ -tree  $\mathbf{T}$  is *normal* if it has unique limits and the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $|T_0| = 1$ ;
- (ii) If  $\alpha, \alpha + 1 < \theta$  and  $x \in T_\alpha$ , there there exist distinct  $y_1, y_2 \in T_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $x <_T y_1$  and  $x <_T y_2$ ;
- (iii) if  $\alpha < \beta < \theta$  and  $x \in T_\alpha$ , there is a  $y \in T_\beta$  such that  $x <_T y$ .

For infinite cardinals  $\kappa$ , a  $\kappa$ -tree is a normal  $(\kappa, \kappa)$ -tree.

**Lemma 3.** *Every  $\omega_0$ -tree has an  $\omega_0$ -branch.*

**Definition 15.** An *Aronszajn tree* is an  $\omega_1$ -tree with no  $\omega_1$  branch.

**Theorem 4** (1.1 in Devlin). *There exists an Aronszajn tree.*

## 4 Souslin Trees

**Definition 16.** A *Souslin tree* is an  $\omega_1$ -tree with no uncountable antichain.

**Theorem 5** (1.2 in Devlin). *Every Souslin tree is an Aronszajn tree.*

**Lemma 6** (1.3 in Devlin). *(i) Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1)$ -tree with unique limits, having no uncountable branch. Then there is a subset  $T^*$  of  $T$  such that, under the induced ordering,  $T^*$  is an Aronszajn tree.*

*(ii) Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1)$ -tree with unique limits, having no uncountable antichain. Then there is a subset  $T^*$  of  $T$  such that, under the induced ordering,  $T^*$  is a Souslin tree.*

**Theorem 7** (1.4 in Devlin). *Souslin's Hypothesis is equivalent to the non-existence of a Souslin tree.*

## Exercises

**Exercise 1 (a).** Let  $X$  be a subset of an interval  $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ , such that for every  $q \in I \cap \mathbf{Q}$  and every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there is an  $x(q, k) \in X \cap (q - 2^{-k}, q + 2^{-k})$ . Show that there is a countable subset of  $X$  that is dense in  $I$ .

**Exercise 1 (b).** Show that if  $X$  is a dense subset of an interval  $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ , then it contains a countable subset dense in  $I$ .

**Exercise 2.** Prove that the set  $\langle X, <_X \rangle$  defined in the proof of the left-to-right implication of Theorem 1.4 of Devlin is a densely ordered set of cardinality  $2^\omega$ .