

# Seminar Constructible Sets

Model solution session 9: Kurepa trees and Inaccessible cardinals

2018-04-25

## Exercise 1

For an arbitrary set  $A$ , let  $\bar{A} = A \cap L[A]$  and prove that for all ordinals  $\alpha$ ,  $L_\alpha[A] = L_\alpha[\bar{A}]$  and thus  $L[A] = L[\bar{A}]$ .

### Solution to exercise 1 (4pt)

We prove this by induction on  $\alpha$ .

For  $\alpha = 0$ , we have that  $L_0[A] = \emptyset = L_0[\bar{A}]$ .

Next, suppose that  $L_\alpha[A] = L_\alpha[\bar{A}]$  for some  $\alpha$ , and prove the result for  $\alpha + 1$ : We start by noting two things:

(\*) Since  $L_\alpha[A] \subseteq L[A]$ , we have that  $A \cap L_\alpha[A] = A \cap L[A] \cap L_\alpha[A] = \bar{A} \cap L_\alpha[A]$ .

(\*\*) From the way we interpret  $\mathring{A}$  in our structures, we get that  $Def^A(L_\alpha[A]) = Def^{A \cap L_\alpha[A]}(L_\alpha[A])$  and  $Def^{\bar{A}}(L_\alpha[\bar{A}]) = Def^{\bar{A} \cap L_\alpha[\bar{A}]}(L_\alpha[\bar{A}])$ .

Thus, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\alpha+1}[A] &= Def^A(L_\alpha[A]) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} Def^{A \cap L_\alpha[A]}(L_\alpha[A]) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} Def^{\bar{A} \cap L_\alpha[A]}(L_\alpha[A]) \\ &\stackrel{(\text{ind. hyp.})}{=} Def^{\bar{A} \cap L_\alpha[\bar{A}]}(L_\alpha[\bar{A}]) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} Def^{\bar{A}}(L_\alpha[\bar{A}]) \\ &= L_{\alpha+1}[\bar{A}]. \end{aligned}$$

The limit case follows then immediately from the induction hypothesis as  $L_\lambda[A] = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} L_\alpha[A] = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} L_\alpha[\bar{A}] = L_\lambda[\bar{A}]$  for limit ordinals  $\lambda$ .

In the same way we then get that  $L[A] = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{On}} L_\alpha[A] = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{On}} L_\alpha[\bar{A}] = L[\bar{A}]$ .

## Exercise 2

We will prove Lemma 10 in detail. Let  $X$  be any set, prove the following facts. In each part you may of course use the preceding parts.

- (a) Show that if  $\kappa$  is a cardinal in  $V$ , then  $\kappa$  is also a cardinal in  $L[X]$ .
- (b) Show that for any ordinal  $\alpha$ , we have that  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]}$  is an ordinal in  $V$ .
- (c) Show that for any ordinal  $\alpha$  we have  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \leq \omega_\alpha$  as ordinals in  $V$ .

**Solution to exercise 2 (6pt)**

We will make repeated use of Lemma 8.4 in Devlin’s book to determine the complexity of a formula (in the Lévy hierarchy). Then because  $L[X]$  is transitive and an inner model of **ZFC**, we can use absoluteness (Lemma 8.3 in Devlin’s book).

- (a) (2pt) Any cardinal  $\kappa$  in  $V$  is in particular an ordinal, and thus in  $L[X]$ . We are thus left to show that it is also a cardinal in  $L[X]$ .

By definition, an ordinal  $\alpha$  is a cardinal if there is no ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$  such that there is a surjective function  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ . So we can express “ $x$  is a cardinal” by the following LST-formula:

$$\forall f \forall b (\mathbf{On}(x) \wedge (\mathbf{On}(b) \wedge b \in x \wedge “f : b \rightarrow x”)) \rightarrow x \neq \text{ran}(f).$$

The part after the universal quantifiers is  $\Sigma_0$ , so the entire formula is  $\Pi_1$  and therefore D-absolute. That means that for any  $x \in L[X]$ , if “ $x$  is a cardinal” holds in  $V$ , then it holds in  $L[X]$ . So we conclude that indeed any cardinal in  $V$  must also be a cardinal in  $L[X]$ .

- (b) (1pt) The assertion “ $x$  is an ordinal” (denoted  $\mathbf{On}(x)$ ) is  $\Sigma_0$ , so it is absolute. Thus the class of ordinals in  $L[X]$  coincides with the class of ordinals in  $V$ . Any cardinal  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]}$  in  $L[X]$  is in particular an ordinal in  $L[X]$ , and therefore an ordinal in  $V$ .
- (c) (3pt) We prove this by induction to  $\alpha$ . The case  $\alpha = 0$  is trivial, since  $\omega$  is the same in  $V$  and  $L[X]$ .

The limit step is also easy, because if  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal and  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \leq \omega_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ , we have that  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \subseteq \omega_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . So we conclude that

$$\omega_\lambda^{L[X]} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \omega_\alpha = \omega_\lambda,$$

and thus  $\omega_\lambda^{L[X]} \leq \omega_\lambda$ .

Finally, for the successor step, suppose that  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \leq \omega_\alpha$ . By part (a) we have that  $\omega_{\alpha+1}$  is a cardinal in  $L[X]$ , and we have  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \leq \omega_\alpha < \omega_{\alpha+1}$ . So the next cardinal after  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]}$  in  $L[X]$  can be at most  $\omega_{\alpha+1}$ , which is saying exactly that  $\omega_{\alpha+1}^{L[X]} \leq \omega_{\alpha+1}$ .

We conclude that indeed  $\omega_\alpha^{L[X]} \leq \omega_\alpha$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ .