## Seminar on Set Theory

Hand-out Lecture 4

October 9, 2015

## Subalgebras and Submodels

We show that

$$V^{(B)} \supset V^{(2)} \cong V$$

in a natural sense.

**Definition 1.** Given a *complete subalgebra* of a Boolean algebra B is a subalgebra B' such that for any  $X \subset B'$ ,  $\bigvee X$  and  $\bigwedge X$  exist in B' and coincide with  $\bigvee X$  and  $\bigwedge X$  formed in B.

**Theorem 2.** Let B be a Boolean algebra and B' a complete subalgebra of B. Then  $V^{(B')} \subset V^{(B)}$  and for any  $u, v \in V^{(B')}$  we have

$$[\![u = v]\!]^B = [\![u = v]\!]^{B'}$$
$$[\![u \in v]\!]^B = [\![u \in v]\!]^{B'}$$

**Corollary 3.** Given a Boolean algebra B and a complete subalgebra B', we have for any restricted formula  $\phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  and any  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in B'$  that

$$\llbracket \phi(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rrbracket^B = \llbracket \phi(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rrbracket^{B'}.$$

Hence, when B' is a complete subalgebra of B we say that  $V^{(B')}$  is a *submodel* of  $V^{(B)}$ .

Note that  $2 \subset B$  is a complete subalgebra of any Boolean algebra B, so  $V^{(2)}$  is a submodel of every  $V^{(B)}$ .

Define  $\hat{x}$  as follows by recursion on  $\in$ :

$$\hat{x} = \{ \langle \hat{y}, 1 \rangle : y \in x \}$$

**Definition 4.** We say that  $y \in V^{(B)}$  is a *standard element* if there is an  $x \in V$  such that  $y = \hat{x}$ .

Note: this is not the same as  $[y = \hat{x}]^B = 1!$ 

Theorem 5. The following properties about standard elements hold:

(i) For  $x \in V, u \in V^{(B)}$ ,

$$\llbracket u \in \hat{x} \rrbracket^B = \bigvee_{y \in x} \llbracket u = \hat{y} \rrbracket^B.$$

(ii) For  $x, y \in V$ ,

$$x \in y \text{ iff } V^{(B)} \models \hat{x} \in \hat{y}$$
$$x = y \text{ iff } V^{(B)} \models \hat{x} = \hat{y}$$

- (iii) The map  $x \mapsto \hat{x}$  is one-to-one.
- (iv) For each  $u \in V^{(2)}$  there is a unique  $x \in V$  such that  $V^{(2)} \models u = \hat{x}$ .
- (v) For any formula  $\phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in V$ ,

$$V \models \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$
 iff  $V^{(2)} \models \phi(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$ 

and furthermore, if  $\phi$  is restricted,

$$V \models \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$
 iff  $V^{(B)} \models \phi(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$ .