

Henry Prakken's Publications

Journals

- [1] G. Sartor and M. Araszkievicz and K.D. Atkinson and F.J. Bex and T. van Engers and E. Francesconi and H. Prakken and Giovanni Sileno and F. Schilder and A.Z. Wyner and T.J.M. Bench-Capon, Thirty years of *Artificial Intelligence and Law*: the second decade. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 30 (2022): 521-557.
- [2] H. Prakken & R. Ratsma, A top-level model of case-based argumentation for explanation: formalisation and experiments. *Argument and Computation* 13 (2022), 159-194.
- [3] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Deductive and abductive argumentation based on information graphs. *Argument and Computation* 13 (2022): 49-91.
- [4] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Information Graphs and Their Use for Bayesian Network Graph Construction. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 136 (2021): 249–280.
- [5] H. Prakken, A formal analysis of some factor- and precedent-based accounts of precedential constraint. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 29 (2021): 559–585.
- [6] H. Prakken, F.J. Bex & A.R. Mackor, Editors' Review and Introduction: Models of Rational Proof in Criminal Law. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 12:4 (2020), 1053-1067.
- [7] H. Prakken, An argumentation-based analysis of the Simonshaven case. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 12:4 (2020), 1068-1091. (Special issue on Models of Rational Proof in Criminal Law). First online 14 March 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12418>.
- [8] Z. Akata, D. Balliet, M. de Rijke, F. Dignum, V. Dignum, G. Eiben, A. Fokkens, D. Grossi, K. Hindriks, H. Hoos, H. Hung, C. Jonker, Ch. Monz, M. Neerincx, F. Oliehoek, H. Prakken, S. Schlobach, L. van der Gaag, F. van Harmelen, H. van Hoof, B. van Riemsdijk, A. van Wylsberghe, R. Verbrugge, B. Verheij, P. Vossen & M. Welling, A Research Agenda for Hybrid Intelligence: Augmenting Human Intellect With Collaborative, Adaptive, Responsible, and Explainable Artificial Intelligence. *IEEE Computer* vol. 53, issue 8 (2020): 18–28.
- [9] K.D. Atkinson, T.J.M. Bench-Capon, F.J. Bex, T.F. Gordon, H. Prakken, G. Sartor & B. Verheij, In Memoriam Doug Walton: The influence of Doug Walton on AI and Law. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 28 (2020): 281–326.

- [10] A.J. Garcia, H. Prakken & G.R. Simari, A comparative study of some central notions of ASPIC+ and DeLP. *Theory and Practice of Logic Programming* 20 (2020): 358–390.
- [11] F.J. Bex & H. Prakken, De Juridische Voorspelindustrie: onzinnige hype of nuttige ontwikkeling? *Ars Aequi* 69 (2020): 255–259 (in Dutch).
- [12] H. Prakken, A new use case for argumentation support tools: supporting discussions of Bayesian analyses of complex criminal cases. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 28 (2020): 27–49. First online 09 October 2018. doi.org/10.1007/s10506-018-9235-z.
- [13] H. Prakken, An argumentation-based analysis of the Simonshaven case. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 2019, special issue on Models of Rational Proof in Criminal Law, first online 14 March 2019, https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12418.
- [14] H. Prakken, Kansoordelen door deskundigen: over ‘logisch’ rapporteren en wat daarbij mis kan gaan *Ars Aequi* 67 (2018): 740–747 (in Dutch).
- [15] S.J. Modgil & H. Prakken, Corrigendum for: A general account of argumentation with preferences [Artif. Intell. 195 (2013) 361–397]. *Artificial Intelligence* 263 (2018): 107–110.
- [16] R. Meester & H. Prakken, Reactie op Alkemade’s weerwoord. *Expertise en Recht* 2018-1, 41–43 (in Dutch).
- [17] H. Prakken, Komt de robotrechter er aan? *Nederlands Juristenblad* 2018/207, issue 4, pp. 269–274 (in Dutch).
- [18] H. Prakken & R. Meester, Bayesiaanse analyses van complexe strafzaken door deskundigen. Betrouwbaar en zo ja: nuttig? *Expertise en Recht* 2017-5, 185–197 (in Dutch).
- [19] K. Atkinson, P. Baroni, M. Giacomin, A. Hunter, H. Prakken, C. Reed, G. Simari, M. Thimm & S. Villata, Towards artificial argumentation. *AI Magazine* 38-3 (2017): 25–36.
- [20] H. Prakken, On the problem of making autonomous vehicles conform to traffic law. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 25 (2017): 341–363.
- [21] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, A two-phase method for extracting explanatory arguments from Bayesian networks. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 80 (2017): 275–294.
- [22] Ch. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, A method for explaining Bayesian networks for legal evidence with scenarios. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 24 (2016): 285–324.

- [23] Bin Wei & H. Prakken, An analysis of critical-link semantics with variable degrees of justification. *Argument and Computation* 7 (2016): 35–53.
- [24] H. Prakken, Een reactie op H.W.J. de Groot: ‘Moord op de A73’ *Expertise en Recht* 2016-2, 63-70 (in Dutch).
- [25] D. Grooters & H. Prakken, Two aspects of relevance in structured argumentation: minimality and paraconsistency. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 56 (2016): 197–245.
- [26] B.Verheij, F.J. Bex, S. Timmer, Ch. Vlek, J.-J. Ch. Meyer, S. Renooij & H. Prakken, Arguments, scenarios and probabilities: connections between three normative frameworks for evidential reasoning. *Law, Probability and Risk* 15 (2016): 35–70.
- [27] H. Prakken, A.Z. Wyner, T.J.M. Bench-Capon & K.D. Atkinson, A formalisation of argumentation schemes for legal case-based reasoning in ASPIC+. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 25 (2015): 1141-1166. First published online: May 9, 2013.
- [28] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, Law and logic: a review from an argumentation perspective. *Artificial Intelligence* 227 (2015): 214–245.
- [29] H. Prakken, On direct and indirect probabilistic reasoning in legal proof *Law, Probability and Risk* 13 (2014): 327-337.
- [30] Ch. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Building Bayesian networks for legal evidence with narratives: a case study evaluation. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 22 (2014): 375-421.
- [31] H. Prakken, Strafrechtelijk bewijzen: met Bayes of met verhalen? Of is er een derde weg? *Expertise en Recht* 2014-1, 4-19 (in Dutch).
- [32] S.J. Modgil & H. Prakken, The ASPIC+ framework for structured argumentation: a tutorial. *Argument and Computation* 5 (2014): 31-62.
- [33] Ph. Besnard, A. Garcia, A. Hunter, S. Modgil, H. Prakken, G. Simari & F. Toni, Introduction to structured argumentation. *Argument and Computation* 5 (2014): 1-4.
- [34] S.J. Modgil & H. Prakken, A general account of argumentation with preferences. *Artificial Intelligence* 195 (2013): 361–397.
- [35] F.J. Bex, S. Modgil, H. Prakken & C. Reed, On logical reifications of the Argument Interchange Format. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 23 (2013): 951–989.
- [36] T. Bench-Capon, M. Araszkievicz, K. Ashley, K. Atkinson, F. Bex, F. Borges, D. Bourcier, D. Bourguine, J.G. Conrad, E. Francesconi, T.F. Gordon, G. Governatori, J.L. Leidner, D.D. Lewis, R.P. Loui, L.T. McCarty,

- H. Prakken, F. Schilder, E. Schweighofer, P. Thompson, A. Tyrrell, B. Verheij, D.N. Walton & A.Z. Wyner, A history of AI and Law in 50 papers: 25 years of the international conference on AI and Law. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20(3): 215–319, 2012.
- [37] H. Prakken, Reconstructing Popov v. Hayashi in a framework for argumentation with structured arguments and Dungean semantics. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20(1): 57–82, 2012.
- [38] B. van Gijzel & H. Prakken, Relating Carneades with abstract argumentation via the ASPIC⁺ framework for structured argumentation. *Argument and Computation* 3(1): 21–47, 2012.
- [39] H. Prakken & J. Horty, An appreciation of John Pollock’s work on the computational study of argument. *Argument and Computation* 3(1): 1–19, 2012.
- [40] H. Prakken, Argumentation without arguments. *Argumentation* 25 (2011): 171–184.
- [41] H. Prakken, An overview of formal models of argumentation and their application in philosophy. *Studies in Logic*, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2011): 65–86.
- [42] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken, Using argument Schemes for hypothetical reasoning in law. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 18 (2010): 153–174.
- [43] F.J. Bex, P.J van Koppen, H. Prakken & H.B. Verheij, A hybrid formal theory of arguments, stories and criminal evidence. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 18 (2010): 123–152.
- [44] H. Prakken, An abstract framework for argumentation with structured arguments. *Argument and Computation* 1 (2010): 93–124.
- [45] J. Dix, S. Parsons, H. Prakken & G. Simari, Research Challenges for Argumentation. *Computer Science - Research and Development* 23 (2009): 27–34.
- [46] H. Prakken, Formalising ordinary legal disputes: a case study. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 16 (2008): 333–359.
- [47] H. Prakken, A formal model of adjudication dialogues. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 16 (2008): 305–328.
- [48] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken, Introducing the Logic and Law Corner. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 18 (2008): 1–12.
- [49] T.F. Gordon, H. Prakken & D. Walton, The Carneades model of argument and burden of proof. *Artificial Intelligence* 171 (2007): 875–896.

- [50] F.J. Bex, S.W. van den Braak, H. van Oostendorp, H. Prakken, H.B. Verheij & G.A.W. Vreeswijk, Sense-making software for crime investigation: how to combine stories and arguments? *Law, Probability and Risk* 6 (2007): 145–168.
- [51] H. Prakken, Formal systems for persuasion dialogue. *The Knowledge Engineering Review* 21 (2006): 163–188.
- [52] H. Prakken, Coherence and flexibility in dialogue games for argumentation. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 15 (2005): 1090–1040.
- [53] P. Dijkstra, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & C.N.J. De Vey Mestdagh, Towards a multi-agent system for regulated information exchange in crime investigations. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 13 (2005): 133–151.
- [54] H. Prakken, AI & law, logic and argument schemes. *Argumentation* 19 (2005): 303–320.
- [55] H. Prakken, Analysing reasoning about evidence with formal models of argumentation. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 3:1 (2004), 33–50.
- [56] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, The three faces of defeasibility in the law. *Ratio Juris* 17:1 (2004), 118–139.
- [57] F. Bex, H. Prakken, C. Reed & D.N. Walton, Towards a formal account of reasoning about evidence: argumentation schemes and generalisations. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 11 (2003), 125–165.
- [58] H. Prakken, An exercise in formalising teleological case-based reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 10:113–133 (2002).
- [59] H. Prakken, Modelling defeasibility in law: logic or procedure? *Fundamenta Informaticae* 48, 153–171 (2001).
- [60] H. Prakken, Relating protocols for dynamic dispute with logics for defeasible argumentation. *Synthese* 127, 187–219 (2001).
- [61] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, Modelling reasoning with precedents in a formal dialogue game. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 6: 231–287 (1998).
- [62] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, Argumentation frameworks: the missing link between arguments and procedure. *European Journal of Law, Philosophy and Computer Science*, Vol. 1-2: (1998) 379–396.
- [63] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, Argument-based logic programming with defeasible priorities. *Journal of Applied Non-classical Logics* 7: 25–75 (1997).
- [64] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, A dialectical model of assessing conflicting arguments in legal reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 4: 331–368 (1996).

- [65] H. Prakken and M.J. Sergot, Contrary-to-duty obligations. *Studia Logica* 57: 91–115 (1996).
- [66] H. Prakken, Two approaches to the formalisation of defeasible deontic reasoning. *Studia Logica* 57: 73–90 (1996).
- [67] H. Prakken, Logica en recht: wat heeft de kunstmatige intelligentie ons geleerd? (Logic and Law, what can we learn from Artificial Intelligence?) *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Rechtsfilosofie en Rechtstheorie* (Dutch Journal of Legal Philosophy and Legal Theory) 3 (1993), Jrg. 22, no. 3, pp. 198–219. (in dutch)
- [68] H. Prakken, An argumentation framework in default logic. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* 9 (1993), 91–132.

Books

- [69] H. Prakken, *Argumentatiemanagement voor Juristen*. Oratie Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid, Universiteit Groningen, 27 September 2005.
- [70] H. Prakken, *Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht etc: Law and Philosophy Library, 1997. (Translated into Chinese Mandarin, published in the bookseries *The Collected Translations of Western Classics on Legal Logic*. China University of Political Science and Law Press, 2015).
- [71] H. Prakken, *Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument*. Doctoral dissertation Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1993.

Books edited

- [72] H. Prakken S. Bistarelli, F. Santini & C. Taticchi (eds): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2020*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2020.
- [73] K. Atkinson, H. Prakken & A. Wyner, *From Knowledge Representation to Argumentation in AI, Law and Policy Making. A Festschrift in Honour of Trevor Bench-Capon on the Occasion of his 60th Birthday*. College Publications, London, 2013.
- [74] H. Kaptein, H. Prakken & B. Verheij (eds.), *Legal Evidence and Proof: Statistics, Stories, Logic*. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing, Applied Legal Philosophy Series, 2009.
- [75] P.M. McNamara & H. Prakken (eds.), *Norms, Logics and Information Systems. New Studies in Deontic Logic and Computer Science*. IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1999.

- [76] H. Prakken and G. Sartor (eds.), *Logical Models of Legal Argumentation*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht etc. 1997. Reprint of *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 4 (1996).
- [77] H. Prakken, A. Muntjewerff, A. Soeteman (eds.) *Legal Knowledge-Based Systems. The Relation with Legal Theory*. (Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Legal Knowledge-based Systems, Amsterdam 1994). Koninklijke Vermande BV, Lelystad, 1994.

Special Journal issues edited

- [78] F.J. Bex, A.R. Mackor & H. Prakken, *Topics in Cognitive Science* 12:4 (2020), special issue on ‘Models of Rational Proof in Criminal Law’.
- [79] F.J. Bex, T. van Engers, H. Prakken & B. Verheij, special issue of *Artificial Intelligence and Law* on ‘Artificial Intelligence for Justice’. Vol. 25, no. 1, 2017.
- [80] G. Brewka, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Special issue of the *Journal of Logic and Computation* on ‘Computational Dialectics’, 13:3 (2003).
- [81] E. Feteris & H. Prakken, Special issue of *Artificial Intelligence and Law* on ‘Dialectical Legal Argument: Formal and Informal Models’. Vol. 8, no. 2-3, 2000.
- [82] H. Prakken & G. Sartor (red.), special issue of *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 4 (1996) on ‘Logical Models of Legal Argumentation’.

Contributions to edited volumes

- [83] H. Prakken, Rechters en raadsheren over Bayes. In R. Horselenberg, V. van Koppen & J. de Keijser (eds.) *Bakens in de Rechtspsychologie. Liber Amoricum voor Peter van Koppen*, pp. 287–300. Den Haag: Boom Criminologie 2020. (In Dutch. English title: *Courts about Bayes*.)
- [84] H. Prakken, Logical models of legal argumentation. In M. Knauff & W. Spohn (eds.): *The Handbook of Rationality*, pp. 669-677. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press 2021.
- [85] H. Prakken, Modelling support relations between arguments in debates. In C.I. Chesñevar et al. (eds.): *Argumentation-based Proofs of Endearment. Essays in Honor of Guillermo R. Simari on the Occasion of his 70th Birthday*, pp. 349–365. London: College Publications 2018.
- [86] H. Prakken, Argument. In S.O. Hansson & V.F. Hendricks (eds.): *Introduction to Formal Philosophy*, pp. 63–79. Springer Undergraduate Texts in Philosophy, Springer International Publishing AG, 2018.

- [87] H. Prakken, Historical overview of formal argumentation. In P. Baroni, D. Gabbay, M. Giacomin & L. van der Torre (eds.) *Handbook of Formal Argumentation*, Volume 1, pp. 73–141. London: College Publications, 2018.
- [88] S. Modgil & H. Prakken, Abstract rule-based argumentation. In P. Baroni, D. Gabbay, M. Giacomin & L. van der Torre (eds.) *Handbook of Formal Argumentation*, Volume 1, pp. 286–361. London: College Publications, 2018.
- [89] Bin Wei & H. Prakken, Defining the structure of arguments with AI models of argumentation. In F. Bex, F. Grasso, N. Green, F. Paglieri & Chris Reed (eds.) *Argument Technologies: Theory, Analysis, and Applications*, pp. 1–22. College Publications London, 2017.
- [90] H. Prakken, Logics of argumentation and the law. In H.P. Glenn & L.D. Smith (eds): *Law and the New Logics*. Cambridge University Press 2017, pp. 3–31.
- [91] H. Prakken, Formalising debates about law-making proposals as practical reasoning. In M. Araszkievicz & K. Pleszka (eds.), *Logic in the Theory and Practice of Lawmaking*, Legisprudence Library Series, Springer 2015, 301–321.
- [92] H. Prakken, Legal reasoning: computational models. In J.D. Wright (ed.) *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 2nd edition. Elsevier Ltd, Oxford, 2015, pp. 784–791.
- [93] H. Prakken, Relating ways to instantiate abstract argumentation frameworks. In K. Atkinson, H. Prakken & A. Wyner (eds.) *From Knowledge Representation to Argumentation in AI, Law and Policy Making. A Festschrift in Honour of Trevor Bench-Capon on the Occasion of his 60th Birthday*, pp. 167–189. College Publications, London, 2013.
- [94] H. Prakken, Some reflections on two current trends in formal argumentation. In A. Artikis, R. Craven, N.K. Çiçekli, B. Sadighi & K. Stathis (eds.) *Logic Programs, Norms and Action. Essays in Honour of Marek J. Sergot on the Occasion of his 60th Birthday*, pp. 249–272. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, 2012.
- [95] H. Prakken, On the nature of argument schemes. In C.A. Reed & C. Tindale (eds.) *Dialectics, Dialogue and Argumentation. An Examination of Douglas Walton's Theories of Reasoning and Argument*, pp. 167–185. London: College Publications, 2010.
- [96] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken & G. Sartor, Argumentation in legal reasoning. In I. Rahwan & G. Simari (eds.), *Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 363–382. Berlin: Springer, 2009.
- [97] H. Prakken, Models of persuasion dialogue. In I. Rahwan & G. Simari (eds.), *Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 281–300. Berlin: Springer, 2009.

- [98] H. Prakken, Niet-monotone logica: heuristiek of legitimatie? In O. Tans, W. Veraert, B. Wolthuis & J. Zwart (eds.): *De grenzen van het goede leven. Rechtsgeleerde opstellen aangeboden aan prof. mr. A. Soeteman*, pp. 171–180. Nijmegen: Ars Aequi Libri 2009. (In Dutch)
- [99] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, A logical analysis of burdens of proof. In H. Kaptein, H. Prakken & B. Verheij (eds.), *Legal Evidence and Proof: Statistics, Stories, Logic*, pp. 223–253. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing, Applied Legal Philosophy Series, 2009.
- [100] H. Prakken, De logica van bewijslastverdeling (en wat het recht daar aan heeft). (The logic of distributions of the burden of proof (and why it is useful for the law). *Alles Afwegende Bijdragen aan het Vijfde Symposium Juridische Argumentatie*, pp. 321–326. Rotterdam 22 Juni 2007. Nijmegen: Ars Aequi Libri, 2007. (In Dutch)
- [101] H. Prakken, AI & law, logic and argument schemes. In D. Hitchcock and B. Verheij (eds.), *Arguing on the Toulmin Model: New Essays on Argument Analysis and Evaluation*. Dordrecht: Springer Verlag, 2006, pp. 231–245. (Reprinted from *Argumentation* 19, 2005.)
- [102] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken, Argumentation. In A.R. Lodder & A. Oskamp (red.): *Information Technology & Lawyers: Advanced technology in the legal domain, from challenges to daily routine*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 2006, 61-80.
- [103] T.J.M. Bench-Capon, J.B. Freeman, H. Hohmann and H. Prakken, Computational models, argumentation theories and legal practice. In C. Reed & T.J. Norman (eds.): *Argumentation Machines. New Frontiers in Argument and Computation*. Kluwer Argumentation Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht/London 2004, 85–120.
- [104] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, The role of logic in computational models of legal argument. In A. Kakas & F. Sadri (eds.): *Computational Logic: Logic Programming and Beyond. Essays In Honour of Robert A. Kowalski, Part II*. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2048, Berlin 2002, 342-380.
- [105] H. Prakken and G. Vreeswijk, Logics for defeasible argumentation. In D. Gabbay & F. Guenther (eds.), *Handbook of Philosophical Logic*, second edition, Vol 4. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002, pp. 219–318.
- [106] H. Prakken, Logica, debat en procedure in juridische argumentatie. (Logic, debate and procedure in legal argumentation). In E.T. Feteris, H. Kloosterman, H.J. Plug & J.A. Pontier (red.): *Op Goede Gronden. Bijdragen aan het Symposium Juridische Argumentatie Rotterdam 14 juni 1996*. Ars Aequi Libri, Nijmegen, 1997, 132 – 138. (in dutch)
- [107] H. Prakken and M.J. Sergot, Dyadic deontic logic and contrary-to-duty obligations. In D.N. Nute (ed.), *Defeasible Deontic Logic*. Synthese Library, Kluwer, 1997, 223–262.

- [108] H. Prakken, Logische raamwerken voor het vergelijken van argumenten. (Logical frameworks for comparing arguments) In E.T. Feteris, H. Kloosterman, H.J. Plug & J.A. Pontier (red.): *Met Redenen Omkleed*. Bijdragen aan het Symposium Juridische Argumentatie Rotterdam 11 juni 1993. Ars Aequi Libri, Nijmegen, 1994, 98–106. (in dutch)

Refereed papers in international conferences and workshops

- [109] T. van den Belt & H. Prakken, Measuring the complexity of Dutch legislation. In E. Francesconi, G. Borges & C. Sorge (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 20212 The Thirty-Fifth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2022), 243–248.
- [110] J. Peter, F.J. Bex & H. Prakken, Justifications derived from inconsistent case bases using authoritativeness. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Argumentation for eXplainable AI*. CEUR-WS, Vol. 3209.
- [111] W. van Woerkom, D. Grossi, H. Prakken & B. Verheij, Justification in case-based reasoning. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Argumentation for eXplainable AI*. CEUR-WS, Vol. 3209.
- [112] H. Prakken, Formalising an aspect of argument strength: degrees of attackability. In F. Toni et al. (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2022*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2022, 296–307.
- [113] G. Pisano, R. Calegari, H. Prakken & G. Sartor, Arguing about the existence of conflicts. In F. Toni et al. (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2022*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2022, 284–295.
- [114] W. van Woerkom, D. Grossi, H. Prakken & B. Verheij, Landmarks in case-based reasoning: from theory to data. In S. Schlobach, M. Perez-Ortiz & M. Tielman (eds.), *HHAI2022: Augmenting Human Intellect. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Hybrid Human-Machine Intelligence*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2022), 212–224.
- [115] F.J. Bex & H. Prakken, Can predictive justice improve the predictability and consistency of judicial decision-making? In E. Schweighofer (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2021: The Thirty-Fourth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2021), 207–214.
- [116] E. Bezou Vrakatseli, H. Prakken & Ch. P. Janssen, New experiments on reinstatement and gradual acceptability of arguments. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Workshop on Nonmonotonic Reasoning*, Hanoi (Vietnam), 2021.
- [117] H. Prakken, Philosophical reflections on argument strength and gradual acceptability. In J. Vejnarova & N. Wilson (eds.): *Proceedings of the Sixteenth European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to*

Reasoning with Uncertainty (ECSQARU 2021). Springer Lecture Notes in AI 12897, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2021, 144–158.

- [118] F.J. Bex & H. Prakken, On the relevance of algorithmic decision predictors for judicial decision making. *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Sao Paulo, Brazil, 2021. New York: ACM Press, 175–179.
- [119] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Deductive and abductive reasoning with causal and evidential information. In H. Prakken et al. (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2020*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2020, 383–394.
- [120] H. Prakken, On validating theories of abstract argumentation frameworks: the case of bipolar argumentation frameworks. In *Proceedings of the 20th Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, Perugia, Italy, 2020, 21–30.
- [121] H. Prakken, A top-level model of case-based argumentation for explanation. In Proceedings of the ECAI 2020 Workshop on *Dialogue, Explanation and Argumentation for Human-Agent Interaction (DEXA HAI 2020)*.
- [122] H. Prakken, Comparing alternative factor- and precedent-based accounts of precedential constraint. In M. Araszkievicz & V. Rodriguez-Doncel (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2019: The Thirty-Second Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2019), 73–82.
- [123] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Constructing Bayesian network graphs from labelled arguments. In In G. Kern-Isberner and Z. Ognjanović (Eds.): *Proceedings of the Fifteenth European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty (ECSQARU 2019)*, Springer LNAI 11726, pp. 99110, 2019.
- [124] H. Prakken, Modelling accrual of arguments in ASPIC+. *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Montreal, Canada, 2019. New York: ACM Press, 103–112.
- [125] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Supporting discussions about forensic Bayesian networks using argumentation. *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Montreal, Canada, 2019. New York: ACM Press, 143–152.
- [126] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Exploiting causality in constructing Bayesian network graphs from legal arguments. In M. Palmirani (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2018: The Thirty-First Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2018), 151–160.

- [127] H. Prakken, Probabilistic strength of arguments with structure. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR 2018)*, 158-167.
- [128] H. Prakken & M. de Winter, Abstraction in argumentation: necessary but dangerous. In S.J. Modgil et al. (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2018*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2018, 85–96.
- [129] H. Prakken, Argument schemes for discussing Bayesian modellings of complex criminal cases. In A. Wyner and G. Casini (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2017: The Thirtieth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2017), 69–78.
- [130] R. Wieten, F.J. Bex, L.C. van der Gaag, H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Refining a heuristic for constructing Bayesian networks from structured arguments. In Verheij B. & Wiering M. (eds) *Artificial Intelligence. BNAIC 2017*, pp. 32-45. Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 823. Springer, Cham.
- [131] H. Prakken, On relating abstract and structured probabilistic argumentation: a case study. *Proceedings of the Fourteenth European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 10369, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2017, 69–79.
- [132] H. Prakken, On making autonomous vehicles respect traffic law: a case study for Dutch law. *Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, London, UK 2017. New York: ACM Press, 241–244.
- [133] H. Prakken, Rethinking the rationality postulates for argumentation-based inference. In P. Baroni et al. (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2016*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2016, 419–430.
- [134] H. Prakken, On how AI & Law can help autonomous systems obey the law: a position paper. In *Proceedings of the ECAI 2016 Workshop on Artificial Intelligence for Justice*, The Hague (The Netherlands) 2016.
- [135] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Capturing critical questions in Bayesian network fragments. In A. Rotolo (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2015: The Twenty-eighth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2015), 173–176.
- [136] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Explaining legal Bayesian networks using support graphs. In A. Rotolo (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2015: The Twenty-eighth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2015), 121–130.

- [137] Ch. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Representing the quality of crime scenarios in a Bayesian network. In A. Rotolo (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2015: The Twenty-eighth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2015), 131–140.
- [138] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Explaining Bayesian networks using argumentation. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 9161, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2015, 83–92.
- [139] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, A structure-guided approach to capturing Bayesian reasoning about legal evidence in argumentation. *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, San Diego, USA 2015. New York: ACM Press, 109–118.
- [140] Ch. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Constructing and understanding Bayesian networks for legal evidence with scenario schemes *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, San Diego, USA 2015. New York: ACM Press, 128–137.
- [141] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Extracting legal arguments from forensic Bayesian networks. In R. Hoekstra (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2014: The Twenty-seventh Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2014), 71–80.
- [142] Ch. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Extracting scenarios from a Bayesian network as explanations for legal evidence. In R. Hoekstra (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2014: The Twenty-seventh Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2014), 103–112.
- [143] D. Grooters & H. Prakken, Combining Paraconsistent Logic with Argumentation. In S. Parsons, N. Oren, C. Reed & F. Cerutti (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2014*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2014, 301–312.
- [144] H. Prakken, On support relations in abstract argumentation as abstractions of inferential relations. In *Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2014)*, 735–740.
- [145] Ch. Vlek and H. Prakken and S. Renooij and B. Verheij, Unfolding crime scenarios with variations: a method for building a Bayesian network for legal narratives. In K.D. Ashley (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2013: The Twenty-sixth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2013), 145–154.
- [146] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, Formalising arguments about norms. In K.D. Ashley (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2013: The*

Twenty-sixth Annual Conference. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2013), 121–130.

- [147] K. Atkinson and T.J. Bench-Capon and H. Prakken and A.Z. Wyner, Argumentation schemes for reasoning about factors with dimensions. In K.D. Ashley (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2013: The Twenty-sixth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2013), 39–48.
- [148] H. Prakken, Comments on Xiong and Xie. In J. van Benthem & F. Liu (eds.): *Logic Across the University: Foundations and Applications – Proceedings of the Tsinghua Logic Conference*, Beijing, Tsinghua University, 14–16 October 2013, 497–501. London: College Publications 2013.
- [149] S. Timmer, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Inference and attack in Bayesian networks. *Proceedings of the 25th Benelux Conference on Artificial Intelligence (BNAIC 2013)*, 199–206.
- [150] H. Prakken, D. Ionita & R. Wieringa, Risk assessment as an argumentation game. *Proceedings of the 14th International Workshop on Computational Logic in Multi-Agent Systems (CLIMA XIV)*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 8143, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2013, 357–373.
- [151] Bin Wei & H. Prakken, An analysis of critical-link semantics with variable degrees of justification. *Proceedings ECAI-12 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, 39–43. Montpellier, France, 2012.
- [152] Bin Wei & H. Prakken, Defining the structure of arguments with AI models of argumentation. *Proceedings ECAI-12 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, 60–64. Montpellier, France, 2012.
- [153] C.S. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Representing and evaluating legal narratives with subscenarios in a Bayesian network. *Proceedings of the 2013 Workshop on Computational Models of Narrative*, Hamburg, Germany, 2013.
- [154] T.J. Bench-Capon, H. Prakken, A.Z. Wyner & K. Atkinson, Argument schemes for reasoning with legal cases using values. *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Rome, Italy 2013. New York:ACM Press, 13–22.
- [155] C.S. Vlek, H. Prakken, S. Renooij & B. Verheij, Modeling crime scenarios in a Bayesian Network. *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Rome, Italy 2013. New York:ACM Press, 150–159.
- [156] H. Prakken, Formalising a legal opinion on a legislative proposal in the ASPIC⁺ framework. In B. Schäfer (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2012: The Twenty-fifth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2012), 119–128.

- [157] S.J. Modgil & H. Prakken, Resolutions in structured argumentation. In B. Verheij, S. Szeider & S. Woltran (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2012*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2012, 310–321.
- [158] H. Prakken & S.J. Modgil, Clarifying some misconceptions on the ASPIC+ framework. In B. Verheij, S. Szeider & S. Woltran (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2012*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2012, 442–453.
- [159] Bin Wei & H. Prakken, An analysis of critical-link semantics with variable degrees of justification. *Proceedings ECAI-12 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, 39–43. Montpellier, France, 2012.
- [160] Bin Wei & H. Prakken, Defining the structure of arguments with AI models of argumentation. *Proceedings ECAI-12 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, 60–64. Montpellier, France, 2012.
- [161] E. Kok, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Testing the benefits of structured argumentation in multi-agent deliberation dialogues. *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*, 87–106. Valencia (Spain), 2012.
- [162] E. Kok, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Testing the use of structured argumentation in multi-agent deliberation dialogues (extended abstract). *Proceedings of the 11th International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS 2012)*, 1411–1412. Valencia, 2012.
- [163] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, On modelling burdens and standards of proof in structured argumentation. In K. Atkinson (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2011: The Twenty-fourth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2011), 83–92.
- [164] E. Kok, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. van Oostendorp, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, A methodology for the generation of multi-agent argumentation dialogue scenarios. In *Proceedings of the 9th European Workshop on Multi-Agent Systems (EUMAS 2011)*, Maastricht, The Netherlands, 2011.
- [165] B. van Gijzel & H. Prakken, Relating Carneades with abstract argumentation. *Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Barcelona, 2011, 1113–1119.
- [166] S.J. Modgil & H. Prakken, Revisiting preferences and argumentation. *Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Barcelona, 2011, 1021–1026.
- [167] T.J. Bench-Capon, H. Prakken & W. Visser, Argument schemes for two-phase democratic deliberation. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International*

Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Pittsburgh, PA 2011. New York:ACM Press, 21–30.

- [168] E. Kok, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, A formal argumentation framework for deliberation dialogues. In P. McBurney, I. Rahwan, S. Parsons (eds.), *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems. 7th International Workshop, ArgMAS 2010, Toronto, Canada, May 2010. Revised Selected and Invited Papers*. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 6614, 31 — 48.
- [169] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Arguing about preferences and decisions. In P. McBurney, I. Rahwan, S. Parsons (eds.), *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems. 7th International Workshop, ArgMAS 2010, Toronto, Canada, May 2010. Revised Selected and Invited Papers*. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 6614, 68 — 85.
- [170] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Multi-criteria argument selection in persuasion dialogues. *Proceedings of the 10th International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS-2011)*, Taipeh (Taiwan), 2–6 May 2011, 921–928.
- [171] H. Prakken, Argumentation without arguments. *Proceedings of the Seventh Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA 2010)*. Amsterdam: Sic Sat, 2011.
- [172] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Practical reasoning using values. Giving meaning to values. In P. McBurney, I. Rahwan, S. Parsons, N. Maudet, (eds.), *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems. 6th International Workshop, ArgMAS 2009, Budapest, Hungary, May 12, 2009. Revised Selected and Invited Papers*. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 6057, pp. 79–93.
- [173] S. van Driel & H. Prakken, Visualising the argumentation structure of an expert witness report with Rationale (extended abstract). In A. Wyner (ed.), *Proceedings of the Workshop on Modelling Legal Cases and Legal Rules*, in conjunction with JURIX-10, Liverpool 2010, 1–8.
- [174] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken, A lightweight formal model of two-phase democratic deliberation. In R.G.F. Winkels (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2010: The Twenty-Third Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2010), 27–36.
- [175] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Arguing about preferences and decisions. *Proceedings of the 22nd Benelux Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Luxemburg (Luxemburg), 2010 (‘compressed contribution’).

- [176] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Personality-based practical reasoning. *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems: Fifth International Workshop, ArgMAS 2008, Estoril, Portugal, May 2008 Revised Selected and Invited Papers*, pp. 3–18. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5384.
- [177] F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & C.A. Reed, An analysis of the AIF in terms of the ASPIC framework. In P. Baroni, F. Cerutti, M. Giacomin & G.R. Simari (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2010*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2010, 99–110.
- [178] S.J. Modgil & H. Prakken, Reasoning about preferences in structured extended argumentation frameworks. In P. Baroni, F. Cerutti, M. Giacomin & G.R. Simari (eds.): *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2010*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2010, 347–358.
- [179] E. Kok, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, A formal argumentation framework for deliberation dialogues. *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*, Toronto (Canada), 2010, 73–90
- [180] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Arguing about preferences and decisions. *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*, Toronto (Canada), 2010, 229–246
- [181] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken, A case study of hypothetical and value-based reasoning in US Supreme-Court cases. In G. Governatori (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2009: The Twenty-Second Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2009), 11–20.
- [182] S. Joseph & H. Prakken, Coherence-driven argumentation to norm consensus. *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Barcelona, 2009. New York: ACM Press, 58–67.
- [183] H. Prakken, A case study of medico-legal argumentation in disability assessment (research abstract). In K. Atkinson (ed.), *Modeling Legal Cases. A Pre-Conference Workshop at the 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*. IDT Series Vol.5 (2009), 91–94. Barcelona: Huygens Editorial.
- [184] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Practical reasoning using values. Giving meaning to values. *Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*, Budapest (Hungary), 2009, 225–240.
- [185] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Personality-based practical reasoning. In I. Rahwan & P. Moraitis (eds.), *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems: Fifth International Workshop*,

ArgMAS 2008, Estoril, Portugal, May 2008 Revised Selected and Invited Papers, pp. 3–18. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5384.

- [186] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, More on presumptions and burdens of proof. In E. Francesconi, G. Sartor & D. Tiscornia (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2008: The Twenty-First Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2008), 176–185.
- [187] S.W. Van den Braak, H. Van Oostendorp, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Representing narrative and testimonial knowledge in sense-making software for crime analysis. In E. Francesconi, G. Sartor & D. Tiscornia (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2008: The Twenty-First Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2008), 160–169.
- [188] H. Prakken, Combining modes of reasoning: an application of abstract argumentation. *Proceedings of JELIA 2008, The 11th European Conference on Logics in Artificial Intelligence*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 5293, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2008, 349–361.
- [189] S.W. Van den Braak, H. Van Oostendorp, G. Vreeswijk & H. Prakken, Utilizing compression and refinement to handle large cases in crime analysis. *ECAI-08 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, Patras, Greece, 2008.
- [190] F.J. Bex & H. Prakken, Investigating stories in a formal dialogue game. In Ph. Besnard, S. Doutre & A. Hunter(ed.), *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2008*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2008, 73–84.
- [191] S. Modgil & H. Prakken, Applying preferences to dialogue graphs. In Ph. Besnard, S. Doutre & A. Hunter(ed.), *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2008*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2008, 252–263.
- [192] R. Riveret, H. Prakken, A. Rotolo & G. Sartor, Heuristics in argumentation: a game-theoretical investigation. In Ph. Besnard, S. Doutre & A. Hunter(ed.), *Computational Models of Argument. Proceedings of COMMA 2008*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2008, 324–335.
- [193] T. van der Weide, F. Dignum, J.-J.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, Personality-based practical reasoning. *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*, Estoril (Portugal), 2008, 76–93.
- [194] H. Prakken, Formalising ordinary legal disputes: a case study (extended abstract). *Workshop Notes of JURIX-07 Workshop on Modelling Legal Cases*, Leiden 2007.
- [195] R. Riveret, A. Rotolo, G. Sartor, H. Prakken & B. Roth, Success chances in argument games: a probabilistic approach to legal disputes. In A.R.

- Lodder & L. Mommers (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2007: The Twentieth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2007), 99–108.
- [196] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, Formalising arguments about the burden of persuasion. *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Stanford, CA 2007. New York:ACM Press, 97–106.
- [197] F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & B. Verheij, Formalising argumentative story-based analysis of evidence. *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Stanford, CA 2007. New York:ACM Press, 1–10. *Winner of the Donald Berman Best Student Paper Award*
- [198] S.W. van den Braak, G.A.W. Vreeswijk & H. Prakken, AVERs: An argument visualization tool for representing stories about evidence. *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Stanford, CA 2007. New York:ACM Press, 11–15.
- [199] P. Dijkstra, H. Prakken & C.N.J. De Vey Mestdagh, An implementation of norm-based agent negotiation. *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Stanford, CA 2007. New York:ACM Press, 167–175.
- [200] J. Sombekke, T.M. van Engers & H. Prakken, Argumentation structures in legal dossiers. *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Stanford, CA 2007. New York:ACM Press, 277–281.
- [201] F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & B. Verheij, Anchored narratives in reasoning about evidence. In T.M. van Engers (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2006: The Nineteenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2006), 11–20.
- [202] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, Presumptions and burdens of proof. In T.M. van Engers (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2006: The Nineteenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2006), 21–30.
- [203] H. Prakken, Combining sceptical epistemic reasoning with credulous practical reasoning. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Computational Models of Argument*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2006, pp. 311–322.
- [204] T.J.M. Bench-Capon & H. Prakken, Justifying actions by accruing arguments. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Computational Models of Argument*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press 2006, pp. 247–258.
- [205] S.W. Van den Braak, H. Van Oostendorp, H., Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, A critical review of argument visualization tools: Do users become better reasoners? *ECAI-06 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, Trento, Italy, 2006.

- [206] L. Bodenstaff, H. Prakken & G. Vreeswijk, On formalising dialogue systems for argumentation in the event calculus. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Workshop on Nonmonotonic Reasoning*, Windermere, 2006, 374–382.
- [207] J. van Veenen & H. Prakken, A protocol for arguing about rejections in negotiation. *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems (ArgMas-05)*, Utrecht, 2005.
- [208] J. van Veenen & H. Prakken, A protocol for arguing about rejections in negotiation. In S. Parsons, N. Maudet, P. Moraitis & I. Rahwan (eds.), *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 4049, pp. 138–153.
- [209] H. Prakken, A study of accrual of arguments, with applications to evidential reasoning. *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Bologna, 2005. New York:ACM Press, 85–94.
- [210] H. Prakken, C. Reed & D.N. Walton, Dialogues about the burden of proof. *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Bologna, 2005. New York:ACM Press, 115–124.
- [211] P. Dijkstra, F.J. Bex, H. Prakken & C.N.J. De Vey Mestdagh, Outline of a multi-agent system for regulated information exchange in crime investigations. In P.E. Dunne & T.J.M. Bench-Capon (eds.): *Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law*, pp. 27–37. No. 2 in IAAIL Workshop series. Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers, 2005.
- [212] F.J. Bex & H. Prakken, Reinterpreting arguments in dialogue: an application to evidential reasoning. In T.F. Gordon (ed.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2004: The Seventeenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2004), 119–129.
- [213] H. Prakken, C. Reed & D. Walton, Argumentation schemes and burden of proof. *ECAI-04 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, Valencia, 2004, 81–86.
- [214] H. Prakken, C. Reed & D.N. Walton, Argumentation schemes and generalisations in reasoning about evidence. *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Edinburgh, 2003. New York:ACM Press, 32–41.
- [215] H. de Bruin, H. Prakken & J. Svensson, The use of legal knowledge-based systems in public administration: what can go wrong? (extended abstract) In L.K. Branting & S. Brüninghaus (eds.), *Evaluation of Legal Reasoning and Problem-Solving Systems. Papers from the ICAIL 2003 Workshop*., Edinburgh, Scotland, 2003, pp. 14–16.

- [216] H. Prakken, Logical dialectics: the missing link between deductivism and pragma-dialectics. *Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA 2010)*. Amsterdam: Sic Sat 2003, 857–860.
- [217] H. Prakken, Incomplete arguments in legal discourse: a case study. In T.J.M. Bench-Capon, A. Daskalopulu & R. Winkels (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2002: The Fifteenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2002), 93–102.
- [218] H. de Bruin, H. Prakken & J. Svensson, The use of legal knowledge-based systems in public administration: what can go wrong? In T.J.M. Bench-Capon, A. Daskalopulu & R. Winkels (eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2002: The Fifteenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2002), 123–132.
- [219] H. Prakken & G.A.W. Vreeswijk, Encoding schemes for a discourse support system for legal argument. *ECAI-02 Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument*, Lyon, 2002, 31–39.
- [220] H. Prakken, Intuitions and the modelling of defeasible reasoning: some case studies. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Non-monotonic Reasoning*, Toulouse, 2002, 91–99.
- [221] H. Prakken & S. Renooij, Reconstructing causal reasoning about evidence: a case study. In *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2001: The Fourteenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc, IOS Press (2001), 131–142.
- [222] H. Prakken, Modelling reasoning about evidence in legal procedure. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, St. Louis, MO, 2001. ACM Press 2001, 119–128.
- [223] H. Prakken, An Exercise in Formalising Teleological Case-Based Reasoning (Extended Abstract). In J. Breuker, R. Leenes & R. Winkels (red.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX 2000: The Thirteenth Annual Conference*. Amsterdam etc.: IOS Press, 2000, 49–57.
- [224] H. Prakken, On dialogue systems with speech acts, arguments, and counterarguments. *Proceedings of JELIA'2000, The 7th European Workshop on Logic for Artificial Intelligence*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2000, 224–238.
- [225] G. Vreeswijk and H. Prakken, Credulous and sceptical argument games for preferred semantics. *Proceedings of JELIA'2000, The 7th European Workshop on Logic for Artificial Intelligence*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2000, 239–253.

- [226] H. Prakken, An Exercise in Formalising Teleological Case-Based Reasoning (Extended Abstract). *ECAI'2000 Workshop on Computational Dialectics: Models of Argumentation, Negotiation and Decision Making*. Berlin, 2000.
- [227] H. Prakken, Dialectical proof theory for defeasible argumentation with defeasible priorities (preliminary report). In J.-J.Ch. Meyer & P.-Y. Schobbens (eds.), *Formal Models of Agents: ESPRIT Project ModelAge Final Workshop, Selected Papers*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 1760, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1999, 202–215.
- [228] H. Prakken, On formalising burden of proof in legal argument. In *Legal Knowledge-based Systems. JURIX'99: The Twelfth Conference*. Nijmegen: Gerard Noodt Instituut, 1999, 85–97.
- [229] H. Prakken and T.F. Gordon, Rules of order for electronic group decision making — a formalization methodology. In J.A. Padget (ed.), *Collaboration between Human and Artificial Societies: Coordination and Agent-Based Distributed Computing*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 1624, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1999, 246–263.
- [230] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, Reasoning with precedents in a dialogue game. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Melbourne 1997. ACM Press 1997, 1–9.
- [231] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, A system for defeasible argumentation, with defeasible priorities. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Formal and Applied Practical Reasoning*, Bonn 1996. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 1085, Springer Verlag, 1996, 510–524. Reprinted in M.J. Wooldridge & M. Veloso (eds.), *Artificial Intelligence Today*. Springer Lecture Notes in AI 1600, Springer Verlag, 1999, 365–379.
- [232] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, Representing legal precedents as defeasible-argumentation structures (preliminary report). Presented at the *Workshop Computational Dialectics of the First International Conference on Formal and Applied Practical Reasoning*, Bonn 1996.
- [233] H. Prakken, Argumentation with defeasible conditionals: a preliminary report (extended abstract). *Proceedings Second Dutch/German Workshop on Non-monotonic Reasoning*, 29–31 March 1995, 152–159.
- [234] H. Prakken, A semantic view on reasoning about priorities (extended abstract). *Proceedings Second Dutch/German Workshop on Non-monotonic Reasoning*, 29–31 March 1995, 160–167.
- [235] H. Prakken, From logic to dialectics in legal argument. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, College Park, MD, 1995. ACM Press 1995, 165–174.

- [236] H. Prakken and G. Sartor, On the relation between legal language and legal argument: assumptions, applicability and dynamic priorities. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, College Park, MD, 1995. ACM Press 1995, 1–9.
- [237] H. Prakken, Two approaches to defeasible deontic reasoning. *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Deontic Logic in Computer Science*, Tano, Oslo 1994, 281–295.
- [238] H. Prakken and M.J. Sergot: Contrary-to-duty imperatives, defeasibility and violability. *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Deontic Logic in Computer Science*, Tano, Oslo 1994, 296–318.
- [239] H. Prakken, A logical framework for modelling legal argument. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Amsterdam 1993, ACM Press, 1993, 1–10.
- [240] H. Prakken, Reasoning with normative hierarchies. *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Deontic Logic and Computer Science*, Amsterdam 1991, 315–334.
- [241] H. Prakken and J.A. Schrickx: Isomorphic models for rules and exceptions in legislation. In J.A. Breuker, R.V. de Mulder, J.C. Hage (eds.): *Legal knowledge-based systems. Model-based legal reasoning. Proceedings of the Fourth International JURIX-conference*, Rotterdam 1991. Koninklijke Vermande BV, Lelystad 1991, 17–27.
- [242] H. Prakken, A tool in modelling disagreement in law: preferring the most specific argument. *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Oxford 1991. ACM Press 1991, 165–174.

Unrefereed conference and workshop proceedings

- [243] H. Prakken, On thee models of rational legal proof. *Legal Research Network 6th Annual Conference*, Lille (France), 12–13 September 2013.
- [244] H. Prakken, Logical aspects of legal argumentation. *Legal Research Network Summer School on Legal Argumentation*, Lille (France), 9–11 September 2013.
- [245] H. Prakken, AI & Law, logic and argumentation schemes. *Session on Artificial Intelligence and Law, First Congress of Compared Legal Cultures and Systems, Instituto de Investigaciones Juridicas, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mxico, Mexico City, Mexico, 9–14/2 2004*.
- [246] H. Prakken & G. Sartor, Defeasibility in the law. *Workshop on the Philosophy of Law of the Fourth European Congress for Analytic Philosophy (ECAP 4)*, Lund, Sweden, 2002.

- [247] Incomplete and inconsistent knowledge in legal expert systems. In A.A. Martino (ed.): *Pre-proceedings of the Third International Conference on 'Logica, Informatica, Diritto'*, Florence 1989, 761–780.

Technical reports

- [248] H. Prakken, An abstract framework for argumentation with structured arguments. Technical Report UU-CS-2009-019, Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 2009.
- [249] H. Prakken, A formal model of adjudication. Technical Report UU-CS-2008-031, Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 2008.
- [250] S.W. van den Braak, H. van Oostendorp, H. Prakken & G.A.W. Vreeswijk, Representing causal knowledge in sense-making software for crime analysis. Technical Report UU-CS-2008-024, Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 2008.
- [251] H. Prakken, *Formalising ordinary legal disputes: a case study*. Technical Report UU-CS-2007-048, Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 2007.
- [252] H. Prakken, *Coherence and Flexibility in Dialogue Games for Argumentation*. Technical Report UU-CS-2005-021, Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 2005.
- [253] H. Prakken, *Formalizing Robert's Rules of Order. An Experiment in Automating Mediation of Group Decision Making*. GMD Report 12, 1998.
- [254] H. Prakken, *Reasoning with Normative Hierarchies*. Report IR-256, Department of Computer Science, Free University Amsterdam, 1991.
- [255] H. Prakken, *A Formal Theory about Preferring the Most Specific Argument*. Report IR-259, Department of Computer Science, Free University Amsterdam, 1991.

Various

- [256] M. Brinkhuis, H. Prakken & P. van der Heijden, Bias en fairness in datagebreven werken (Bias and fairness in data-driven work). Report commissioned by the Dutch Tax Office (Belastingdienst), section Datafundamenten & Analytics.
- [257] K. Atkinson, H. Prakken & A. Wyner, Overview of Trevor Bench-Capon's research. In K. Atkinson, H. Prakken & A. Wyner (eds.) *From Knowledge Representation to Argumentation in AI, Law and Policy Making. A Festschrift in Honour of Trevor Bench-Capon on the Occasion of his 60th Birthday*, pp. 1–9. College Publications, Londen, 2013.

- [258] H. Prakken, Watson als rechter. *Vakidoot* Jrg 2012/2013, nr 1, pp. 5–7 (periodical of a-Eskwadraat, student association for voor Mathematics, Information and Computing Sciences and Physics & Astronomy, Utrecht University).
- [259] R. Azevedo, T.J. M. Bench-Capon, G. Biswas, T. Carmichael, N. Green, M. Hadzikadic, O. Koyejo, U. Kurup, S. Parsons, R. Pirrone, H. Prakken, A. Samsonovich, D. Scott, R. Souvenir: Reports of the AAAI 2009 Fall Symposia. *AI Magazine* vol. 31(1): 88–94 (2010).
- [260] H. Prakken & J. Dijkstra, Argumentatieve beoordeling van SMBA: Schets van een conceptueel model en van mogelijke ICT-ondersteuning. In *Project SMBA, Innovatieve voorstellen voor Sociaal-Medische Beoordeling van Arbeidsvermogen*, pp. 89–119 UWV, Amsterdam, December 2009. (In Dutch)
- [261] H.B. Winter, P.O. de Jong, A. Sibma, F.W. Visser, M. Herweijer, A.M. Klingenberg & H. Prakken: Wat niet weet, wat niet deert. Evaluatieonderzoek werking Wbp. Rapport Pro Facto Groningen, in opdracht van het Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek- en Documentatiecentrum (WODC) te Den Haag (Ministerie van Justitie). 2008. (Evaluation report on the Dutch Data Protection Act, in Dutch).
- [262] H. Prakken, AI & Law on legal argument: research trends and application prospects. Editorial for *Scripted, A Journal of Law, Technology & Society*, 5:3(2008), 449–454.
- [263] J. Dix, S. Parsons, H. Prakken & G. Simari, *Research Challenges for Argumentation*. Dagstuhl Seminar Proceedings 08042, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2008.
- [264] Luc Wintgens & H. Prakken, The level theory of coherence. *BNVKI Newsletter* Vol 21, No 4 (2004), pp. 93–95.
- [265] H. de Bruin, H. Prakken & J. Svensson, The use of legal knowledge-based systems in public administration: what can go wrong? (extended abstract). In K. Branting & S. Brüninghaus (eds.): *Workshop Notes of the ICAIL-03 Workshop on Evaluation of Legal Reasoning and Problem Solving Systems*, Edinburgh, 28 June 2003, pp. 14–16 (extended abstract of [217]).
- [266] Bayesian Probability Theory and Legal Reasoning – A report on a talk by Paul Huygen. *BNVKI Newsletter* Vol 19 (2002), No 6, pp. 154–156.
- [267] H. Prakken, The Spirit of St. Louis - An ICAIL-2001 report. *BNVKI Newsletter* Vol 19 (2002), No 5, pp. 118–120.
- [268] H. Prakken, Book review of Zenon Bankowski, Ian White and Ulrike Hahn (eds.): *Informatics and the Foundations of Legal Reasoning*. Law and Philosophy Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1995. *Argumentation*, Vol. 11, No 3 (1997) 377–381.