

## Matlab Assignments – Lecture 11, Fall 2016

In these assignments you will investigate the iterative solution of the real linear system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ , in which  $\mathbf{A}$  is a block matrix of the following form

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^T & -\mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11.1)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{F}$  is symmetric and positive definite, and  $\mathbf{C}$  is symmetric positive semi-definite.  $\mathbf{C}$  may be zero. Such block matrices arise in many applications. Systems with a block matrix (11.1) are known as **KKT systems** or **saddle-point systems**.

In this assignment both  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  are diagonal matrices.

Saddle-point systems are notoriously difficult to solve by iterative methods. One approach is to precondition them using a preconditioner based on the block LU-factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^T & -\mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{F}^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O}^T & -\mathbf{M}_S \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here,  $\mathbf{M}_S \equiv \mathbf{BF}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^T + \mathbf{C}$  and  $-\mathbf{M}_S$  is the **Schur complement**. Take

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{M}_S \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.2)$$

as preconditioner. This leads to the right-preconditioned matrix

$$\mathbf{AP}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{F}^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Explain why this implies that GMRES applied to a right-preconditioned system saddle point system with (11.2) as preconditioner must find the exact solution in at most two iterations (see Exercise 11.9).

The preconditioner that we have defined above is *nonsymmetric* while the system matrix (11.1) is symmetric. This may seem somewhat unnatural. A *symmetric* preconditioner for saddle point systems is the block diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O}^T & \mathbf{M}_S \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11.3)$$

Note that this preconditioner is also positive definite. Therefore, (implicitly preconditioned) MINRES is applicable.

The preconditioned matrix  $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$  has three distinct non-zero eigenvalues in case  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{0}$  (see Exercise 11.10) and MINRES find the exact solution then in at most three iterations.

In the next assignments you have to perform several numerical experiments on saddle-point systems from the test-set **Schenk\_IBMNA**, which is part of the Tim Davis' matrix collection at the University of Florida (<http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/>). In all the assignments you should use the MATLAB-script `kkt.m` that you can download from the course web page. It is

not necessary to program yourself. In the experiments you also need the script `idrs.m` which you can download from <http://ta.twi.tudelft.nl/nw/users/gijzen/IDR.html>.

**Assignment 11.1.** Download, in MATLAB format, the problem `c-18` from the Tim Davis' collection (the MATLAB command `load c-18`, loads the problem  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  into a `struct` named 'Problem' that contains the matrix [problem.A] as well as the right-hand-side vector [Problem.b]. With the MATLAB command `Problem`, the other quantities in the struct are displayed as well). Check that the matrix `c-18` has the above block structure. What is the size of the matrix? Explain why MINRES is a natural choice for solving the system. Try to solve this system with MINRES without preconditioning. Conclusion?

Now we are going to combine MINRES with preconditioning.

**Assignment 11.2.** Solve the problem with preconditioned MINRES, taking (11.3) as the preconditioner. Discuss the convergence. (Warning: MATLAB uses left preconditioning in MINRES, but gives the residual norms for the *unpreconditioned* system. Therefore, residual norms may go up in your convergence curve.)

For large systems it is not possible to compute the Schur-complement matrix. A simple idea is to approximate the Schur complement by its main diagonal. The resulting preconditioning matrix then becomes

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O}^T & \text{diag}(\mathbf{M}_S) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11.4)$$

which is a diagonal matrix.

**Assignment 11.3.** Solve the problem with preconditioned MINRES, taking (11.4) as the preconditioner. Also solve the system with the following methods (preconditioned with (11.4)): Bi-CGSTAB, IDR(1) and IDR(4). Plot the convergence curves of the four methods in one figure. Put on the x-axis the number of matrix-vector multiplications and on the y-axis the residual norm divided by the norm of the right-hand side vector. Which method is fastest? Is this what you would expect?

Following the same reasoning as above we can also use

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O}^T & -\text{diag}(\mathbf{M}_S) \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.5)$$

as preconditioner. This matrix is nonsymmetric, but a Krylov solver for nonsymmetric systems combined with this preconditioner might be more efficient than MINRES combined with the symmetric preconditioner. We will investigate this in the next assignment.

**Assignment 11.4.** Solve the problem preconditioned with (11.5) with the following methods: Bi-CGSTAB, IDR(1), IDR(4), Bi-CG and QMR. Plot the convergence curves of the five methods in one figure. Put on the x-axis the number of matrix-vector multiplications and on the y-axis the residual norm divided by the norm of the right-hand side vector. Note that Bi-CG and QMR need two matrix-vector multiplications per iteration. Discuss the convergence. Which method is fastest?

**Assignment 11.5.** Select at least two other test problems from the same test set. Repeat Assignment 11.3 and Assignment 11.4. Discuss and draw conclusions.