

# Reconstruction of 300 years annual accumulation rates based on the record of stable isotopes of water from Lomonosovfonna, Svalbard.

V. A. Pohjola<sup>1</sup>, T. Martma<sup>2</sup>, H. A. J. Meijer<sup>3</sup>, J. C. Moore<sup>4</sup>, E. Isaksson<sup>5</sup>, R. Vaikmäe<sup>2</sup> and R. S. W. van de Wal<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Villavägen 16, S-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden., <sup>2</sup>Institute of Geology, Tallinn Technical University, Estonia pst 7, 10143 Tallinn, Estonia, <sup>3</sup>Centre for Isotope Research, Groningen University, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands, <sup>4</sup>Arctic Center, University of Lapland, Box 122, FIN-96101 Rovaniemi, Finland, <sup>5</sup>Norwegian Polar Institute, N-9296 Tromsø, Norway, <sup>6</sup>Institute of Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University, PO Box 80005, 3508TA Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Accepted to *Annals of Glaciology*, October 2001

**Abstract.** We use the upper 81 m of the record of stable isotopes of water from a 122 m long ice core from Lomonosovfonna, central Spitsbergen, to construct an ice core chronology and the annual accumulation rates over the ice field. The isotope cycles are counted in the ice core record using a model that neglects short wavelength and low amplitude cycles. We find approximately the same number of  $\delta^{18}O$  cycles as years between known reference horizons, and assume these cycles represent annual cycles. Testing the validity of this assumption using cycles in  $\delta D$  shows that both records give similar numbers of cycles. Using the  $\delta^{18}O$  chronology, and de-compressing the accumulation records using the Nye flow model, we calculate the annual accumulation for the ice core site back to 1715 AD. We find that the average accumulation rate from 1715 to 1950 was on average 0.30 m w.e. Accumulation rates increased ca. 25% during the later part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century to an average of 0.41 m w.e. for the period 1950 – 1997. The accumulation rates show highly significant 2.1 and 21 year periodicities, which gives credibility to our time scale.

## INTRODUCTION

Ice cores are being used as climatic and environmental archives at an increasing rate. From previously only being considered valuable when recovered from the dry firn areas in the heart of Antarctica and Greenland, more careful site selection and improved analysis techniques have now started to establish reliable ice core records within the percolation zone in the Arctic and at high elevation snowfields at lower latitudes (e.g. Koerner, 1997).

One of the most important tasks in ice core analysis is to establish the chronology of the core. The dating techniques generally used in ice core analysis include: a) flow models, b) counting cycles of seasonally varying parameters in the core, c) using reference horizons, and d) using unstable isotopes with known radioactive decay (Paterson, 1994). Most of these techniques have their pros and cons, and it is unusual to get a good ice core chronology relying on only one of these methods. The best results come from combining as many parameters from the ice core as possible (Hammer and others, 1978; Meese and others, 1997; Eichler and others, 2000).

The most used annual marker in ice cores is the seasonal variation of stable isotopes in water ( $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$ ), and in particular  $\delta^{18}O$ . Despite the development in understanding of the insoluble dust and ion chemistry deposition records (Legrand and Mayewski, 1997; Koerner, 1977; Hammer and others, 1978),  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  are still the most common tools for finding the depth / time relation in ice cores. Although excellent conditions for preservation of annual cycles of  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  exist in areas such as central Greenland, the isotopes sometimes fail to record an exact chronology due to missing annual layers in the record, or because intra-seasonal variations create spurious cycles (e.g. Bolzan and Strobel, 1994; Shuman and others,

1995). The reasons behind this are to be found in both pre- and post-depositional processes (Jouzel and others, 1997; Pohjola, in press).

Here we use the upper 81 m of the  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  record from a 122 m long ice core from the ice field Lomonosovfonna, central Spitsbergen, to determine a more precise chronology of the core than available through reference horizons and flow modelling. We also reconstruct the annual accumulation rates for the ice field.

## THE ICE CORE RECORD AND THE ICE CORE SITE

The 122 m deep ice core was drilled in May 1997 and penetrated to within about 5 m of the bed. The ice core site, drilling procedure, analytical techniques, climate at the drill site and the background to the project are described in Isaksson and others (2001). The drill site was at the summit of the 600 km<sup>2</sup> Lomonosovfonna ice field (1250 m a.s.l.) (Figure 1), with an average annual temperature of ca.  $-12$  °C. Latent heat from percolating melt water has raised the temperature of the ice column to ca.  $-2.5$  °C (Van de Wal and others, 2002). From stratigraphic studies it was estimated that ca. 25 % of the snow pack melts in a median year, with an increase to ca. 33 % melt the last century (Pohjola and others, 2001). Despite melt of the snow pack and infiltrating melt water, there were no signs of re-organization of the  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  in the ice strata due to percolation. Pohjola and others (2001) show that only slight depletion of  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  was found in infiltrated ice facies compared with firn layers and bubbly ice facies.

The ice core data used here is the  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  record from the upper 2 / 3 of the core.  $\delta^{18}O$  is available in a continuous array of 0.05 m samples from 0 – 81 m depth, and  $\delta D$  in two shorter continuous arrays (5.0 – 10.1 and 17.4 – 36.1 m depth) and a series of discontinuous samples (also in 0.05 m intervals) (Figure 2). The analytical uncertainty ( $\epsilon$ ) of  $\delta^{18}O$  is  $\pm 0.1$  ‰ and in  $\delta D \pm 1.5$  ‰. The upper part of the ice core was analyzed for radioactive isotopes (Pinglot and others, 1999), and at 18.5 – 18.95 m (12.95 – 13.34 m w.e.) the 1963 bomb horizon was found. The sulphate record was investigated for volcanic horizons, and only one event was out-standing: the Laki eruption of 1783 at 66.8 m (54.82 – 54.87 m w.e.) (Kekonen and others, 2002).

### **The potential to use $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta D$ as annual time markers at the drill site**

One pre-requisite for using stable isotopes ( $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$ ) in water as annual time markers is that the isotopic record shows a distinct annual cycle. The isotopic record reflects various processes: the regional temperature variation, regional hydrological cycle and atmospheric circulation dynamics, as well as ocean surface temperature, and its relation to ocean circulation dynamics (for further details see Jouzel and others, 1997; Hoffmann and others, 1998). In other words, a rather complex dynamical environment influences  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  in the water vapour, which is later deposited as snow on the ice field. The hydrological cycle and the atmospheric circulation over the Atlantic Ocean sector of the Arctic show a pronounced seasonal cycle. This is imprinted as detectable annual cycles of  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  in precipitation collected at the coastal station of Ny Ålesund, west-central Spitsbergen, situated ca. 100 km west of the ice core site (Figure 1). The location of Svalbard between mild maritime Atlantic air masses and colder Arctic air masses introduces intra-seasonal noise into the record. Diffusion of the isotopic signal will smooth out most of these short period events, and the annual cycles will be most pronounced in the ice core record (Johnsen, 1977; Whillans and Grootes, 1985). The smoothing effects of the 3-point moving average in Figure 3 show a simplified illustration of the effects of diffusion on the Ny Ålesund record.

The isotopic record from Ny Ålesund, shows distinct annual cycles in most years. Only the 1995 and 1996 cycles show a less clear character, that might have been difficult to detect in a real snow / firn pack. Thus we find that  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  have an annual cycle in this region

that we assume is also present over Lomonosovfonna. Therefore, we expect to find  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  annual cycles in the ice core record, as long as post-depositional processes, such as vapour driven diffusion, have not eliminated this signal (Bolzan and Pohjola, 2000).

## RESULTS

### Calculation of isotope cycles

The model used to identify annual cycles in  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta D$  is based on the simple criterion that each time  $\partial X / \partial z$  ( $X = \delta^{18}O, \delta D$ ; and  $z$  is distance down core) changes sign, a (seasonal) half cycle is found, and the algorithm delivers the depth of the presumed seasonal extrema. In order to avoid cycles of smaller wavelengths than annual lengths, two criteria have to be fulfilled: 1. Amplitude of cycle  $>$  analytical uncertainty ( $\epsilon$ ), 2. Half wavelength of cycle  $>$  detection length ( $l$ ), where  $l$  is the search window, which is the minimum thickness of a seasonal layer. The algorithm can only find cycles having more than two points per cycle, obeying the Nyquist criterion (Press and others 1992). The model is discussed further in Pohjola and others (2001).

Since the ice column is affected by gravitational spreading, vertical strain will thin the older (deeper) layers. Nye (1963) provided a simple function to correct for the vertical strain such that  $L_z = L_s (z / H)$ , where  $L$  is the layer thickness,  $H$  is the ice thickness in m w.e., and subscripts  $s$  and  $z$  represent the values at the surface and at a height  $z$  (in m w.e.) above the bed. The length of the search window ( $l$ ), was adjusted according to the Nye thinning model giving a gradually decreasing  $l$  with depth. The relation between strain and depth presented by Nye (1963) leads to the Nye time scale,  $t = - (H / a) \ln (z/H)$ , where  $t$  is time and  $a$  is accumulation rate (Dansgaard and Johnsen, 1969).

We first use the  $\delta^{18}O$  record to calculate the chronology, since the  $\delta D$  record is not available for the full depth interval. To control the model we use the two established reference horizons of 1783 and 1963 AD. We also used an extra datum of 1920 AD based on a more subjective feature fitting than the 1783 and 1963 horizons. In the temperature record from Longyearbyen (1911 – 1997) (Nordli and others, 1996) there is a pronounced increase in air temperatures, with the mid-point at 1920. We assume this will be reflected in the isotopic data, and place this date at the middle of the pronounced increase of  $\delta^{18}O$  in the isotope record. The other two reference horizons with the Nye time scale also gave approximately this age to the isotope feature independently, but it is useful to have this reference layer as an additional check on our cycle counting.

Table 1 shows the results of counting annual cycles in the  $\delta^{18}O$  record using various values of  $l_s$ . The best overall result is using a value of 0.062 m w.e. It is apparent that no single value of  $l_s$  would give a perfect result with all datum horizons placed at their correct depths. The time / depth relationship was also investigated by Kekonen and others (2002) using the Nye time scale. They found that accumulation rates must have increased in the last part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century in order to explain the position of the Laki and the 1963 bomb test markers. To get the datum horizons on their correct depths we use  $l_s = 0.10$  m w.e. in the 1963 – 1997 interval, and  $l_s = 0.07$  in the deeper parts of the core. The obvious explanation for the better match by using a larger  $l_s$  in the upper 18.5 m is that accumulation rates were higher at this part of the core. Additionally the younger ice has more high frequency variability in the record than in the lower part of the core, as the older part of the record is smoothed by diffusion. This could lead to our search method detecting shorter than annual cycles if  $l_s$  is too small. A larger  $l_s$  finds fewer cycles per unit core.

Figure 4 shows the calculated depth – age relation in m w.e., and compares the derived scale to time scales calculated from the Nye time scale. Note that the best fit between the Nye time scale and the derived time scale from isotope cycles is achieved when the accumulation rate in the Nye time scale calculation is changed from 0.41 m w.e. in the upper 18.5 m to 0.36

m w.e.q in the part below 18.5 m. The depth 18.5 m is where the 1963 bomb horizon was found.

It is generally recommended in ice core studies that each annual cycle should contain at least 8 samples. (e.g. Paterson, 1981, p. 333). With increased thinning down the core our sampling size of 5-cm samples will break that limit at some depth. In Figure 5, we study the sampling density at two depth intervals centred around 1750 and 1850 AD. We find that the upper interval barely fulfils this criterion, and that some years are thinner, and contain fewer samples. The lower interval has even fewer samples / year. We do know the number of years between our dated horizons, and presume that each year will show as an isotopic cycle. By restricting our cycle counting to layers that simply satisfy the basic Nyquist sampling theorem (Press and others, 1992 we count cycles as years that contain fewer than the ideal 6 – 8 samples, but which do contain sufficient points to define a cycle (*i.e.* 2 points). Below our last reference horizon (Laki) at 54.8 m w.e. we introduce a higher uncertainty in the time scale. Also as depth increases, the thickness of each cycle is less precisely determined, since the vertical extent of each cycle will be constrained by fewer samples.

### **Validation of $\delta^{18}O$ chronology by comparing $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta D$ cycles**

The ice core chronology, or the depth – time relation, shown in Figure 4 is based on the position of the seasonal extrema in the  $\delta^{18}O$  record. Figure 3 show that the  $\delta D$  record has annual cycles similar to the  $\delta^{18}O$  record. We can use this to test our results of  $\delta^{18}O$  cycle counting, since within the same depth interval the  $\delta D$  and the  $\delta^{18}O$  record should show the same number of cycles.  $\delta D$  is only available in two long continuous intervals in the 0 – 81 m part of the ice core, 5.02 – 10.17 (interval A) and 12.11 – 27.72 (interval B) m w.e. We find that we get similar numbers of cycles in the  $\delta D$  record as in the  $\delta^{18}O$  record, albeit the  $\delta D$  cycles are somewhat fewer (7-10% fewer  $\delta D$  cycles, Table 2).

The explanation for the differing results using  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  is probably the higher ratio of signal to analytic uncertainty ( $\epsilon$ ) in  $\delta^{18}O$  than in  $\delta D$ . By stating this we assume that  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  in the precipitated record are similar to each other (e.g. Figure 3). Post-depositional diffusion of the signals cannot account for the fewer  $\delta D$  cycles since the  $\delta^{18}O$  signal would decay faster than  $\delta D$  (Johnsen and others, 2000). However, this discrepancy in numbers of isotopic cycles can give an estimate of the uncertainty of the method ( $\pm 10\%$ ).

We also tried to use the deuterium excess,  $d$ , (defined as  $\delta D - 8 * \delta^{18}O$ ) for counting annual cycles. However, we got poor results, mainly because  $\epsilon$  becomes a quite large number for  $d$  (2.3 ‰), which is ca. 50 % of the average amplitude in  $d$  in the ice core record. In order to use  $d$  cycles as annual markers, it seems the diffusion has to be calculated, and then the data must be back-diffused (Johnsen, 1977; Bolzan and Pohjola, 2000; Johnsen and others, 2000).

### **Calculation of accumulation rates at Lomonosovfonna summit back to 1715 AD**

As the ice core chronology has been established, the accumulation rates over the ice field can be reconstructed. In order to get the annual accumulation rates we need to de-compress the annual layers. Figure 6 shows the thickness of the annual layers over the period 1715 – 1996, based on the  $\delta^{18}O$  cycle chronology. The decrease of annual layer thickness (apparent accumulation rate) back with time is affected by the thinning of the layers with depth. In order to de-compress the annual layers we used the Nye-model for vertical thinning (Paterson, 1994). To de-compress the annual layers we used an accumulation rate of 0.36 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>, based on the depth of the 1963 reference horizon (Pinglot and others, 1999). The thinned (measured) and the de-compressed (calculated) accumulation rates are shown in Figure 6. The de-compression of the data introduces an uncertainty to the time (depth) gradient of the accumulation rate. The Nye model assumes a constant vertical strain rate, while other models

have more sophisticated solutions (Dansgaard and Johnsen, 1969; Raymond and others, 1996). An imperfection of the any of these models is that they do not take variations in accumulation rate into account. . However, time averaged accumulation rate is more important for the thinning rate than accumulation variance..

The general trend of accumulation rates seen in Figure 6 is an average accumulation rate of about 0.30 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> from the 18<sup>th</sup> century to the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century, where a ca. 25% increase to an average of ca. 0.42 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> is apparent in the later part of the 1940s. The accumulation rate in the lower part of the series is the most sensitive to uncertainty in the de-compression, and the long-term gradient may then be somewhat in error. However, the date at 81 m depth using a Nye model with both the 1963 and the 1783 reference horizons (providing two accumulation rates in different periods) is 1704 (Kekonen and others, 2002), which is very close to the 1715 date found from the isotope cycles.. The 10 year discrepancy between the methods in the extrapolation of some 70 years beyond the Laki marker suggests a combined error in both methods of about 15%, which is consistent with our earlier estimate of approximately 10% error in the cycle counting method. The large increase of accumulation rate in the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century is certainly real, and probably shows another mode of precipitation pattern over the ice field. Ground penetrating radar surveys over the surrounding glaciers show that internal reflection horizons are also indicative of a late 20<sup>th</sup> Century rise of about 10% in accumulation rates (Pälli and others, *in press*).

Another feature visible in the accumulation data, that is independent of the de-compression model, is the periodicity of the accumulation rates. Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA, e.g. Vautard and others, 1992), using a window of 60 years and Monte-Carlo significance testing with 1000 statistically similar surrogate series was performed to make robust estimations of non-linear trends and quasi-periodic components. SSA is particularly useful in finding oscillations that are not strictly periodic, such as may be expected if there were some errors in dating the ice core year-by-year. As SSA operates in the time rather than the frequency domain, errors in dating contribute to an overall lowering of the signal to noise ratio, while still allowing quasi-periodic signals to be found and their significance tested. There is no significant long-term trend in accumulation in the de-compressed data. SSA shows quasi-periodic signals significant at the 99 % level against both white and red noise at the quasi-biannual, (QB - 2.1 year) band accounting for 3.4 % of the variance . There is also a highly significant signal with a period of 20.7 years accounting for 6.3 % of the variance. Additional signals significant against red noise were found at 3.5, 2.7 and 2.1 year periods. The maximum entropy spectrum in Figure 7 is a more traditional view of the frequency content of the accumulation rate record, and the significant power peaks are at the same frequencies as found by the more robust SSA technique. While the 2-4 year power band may be speculated to have an ENSO origin, the 20.7 year period signal has not been shown in other Arctic records, as far as we know. SSA of the large-scale atmosphere circulation patterns over the Arctic and Atlantic – the North Atlantic Oscillation and the Arctic Oscillation (Thompson and Wallace, 1998), show power at the QB band. Similar results are seen in North Atlantic sea surface temperatures, and ice conditions in the Baltic Sea (Jevrejeva and Moore, *in press*). Our finding of the two highly significant periodic signals, especially the well-known QB signal, adds confidence to our dating.

## CONCLUSIONS

We can construct an ice core chronology from Lomonosovfonna using isotope cycles as time markers. The continuously sampled precipitation at a nearby coastal station shows pronounced annual cycles in stable isotopes in water, which corresponds to similar magnitude isotope cycles found in the ice core data.

We further find that diffusion of the isotope signal has damped the seasonal amplitudes in the ice core record, leading to the amplitude of seasonal cycles in deuterium excess being of the same magnitude as the analytical uncertainty. The  $\delta^{18}O$  cycles are still detectable in the record, at least down to 80 m core depth, due to their much lower analytical uncertainty. The thinning of annual layers suggest that the lower 40 m of the core should be sampled with higher resolution in order to reveal annual cycles. From the Nye time scale we find that an average annual layer at 100 m depth would be 6 cm thick. This suggests that we need a sample size of about 2 cm to reveal annual layers at this depth.

The calculated annual accumulation rates over the ice field show a general trend of lower accumulation from the 18<sup>th</sup> century to the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century, when accumulation rates increased rapidly. We also find significant periodicity in the accumulation record at 2.1 and 21 years.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the work done by all collaborators in the Lomonosovfonna Ice Core Project. Erik Huss, Jüri Ivask, Tauno Jauhiainen, Marzena Karczmarska, Håkan Samuelsson, Carina van der Veen, and Jari Vähviläinen are thanked for sampling assistance. Audun Igesund is thanked for the Svalbard map. We are grateful to The Finnish Academy, The Nordic Council of Ministers, The Norwegian Polar Institute, Stiftelsen Ymer-80, and The Swedish Natural Science Council for funding. Comments from two referees and the editor Eric Steig are much appreciated.

#### REFERENCES

- Bolzan, J.F. and V.A.Pohjola. 2000. Reconstruction of the undiffused seasonal oxygen isotope signal in central Greenland ice cores. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **105**(C9), 22,095-22,106.
- Bolzan, J.F. and M.Strobel. 1994. Accumulation-rate variations around Summit, Greenland. *J.Glaciol.*, **40**(134), 56-66.
- Dansgaard, W. and S.J.Johnsen. 1969. A flow model and a time scale for the ice core from Camp Century, Greenland. *J.Glaciol.*, **8**(53), 215-223.
- Eichler, A., M.Schwikowski, H.W.Gäggeler, V.Furrer, H.-A.Synal, J.Beer, M.Saurer and M.Funk. 2000. Glaciochemical dating of an ice core from upper Grenzgletscher (4200 m a.s.l.). *J.Glaciol.*, **46**(154), 507-515.
- Hammer, C.U., H.B.Clausen, W.Dansgaard, N.Gundestrup, S.J.Johnsen and N.Reeh. 1978. Dating of Greenland ice cores by flow models, isotopes, volcanic debris and continental dust. *J.Glaciol.*, **20**(82), 3-26.
- Hoffmann, G., M.Werner and M.Heimann. 1998. Water isotope module of the ECHAM atmospheric general circulation model: A study on timescales from days to several years. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **103**(D14), 16,871-16,896.
- Isaksson, E. and 14 others. 2001. A new ice core record from Lomonosovfonna, Svalbard: viewing the data between 1920-1997 in relation to present climate and environmental conditions. *J.Glaciol.*, **XX**(XXX), xx-xx.

- Jevrejeva, S. and J.C. Moore. Submitted. in press. Singular Spectrum Analysis of Baltic Sea ice conditions and large- scale atmospheric patterns since 1708. *Geophys. Res. Lett*, **XX(XX)**, xxx-xxx.
- Johnsen, S.J. 1977. Stable isotope homogenization of polar firn and ice. *IAHS*, 118, 210-219.
- Johnsen, S.J., H.B.Clausen, K.M.Cuffey, G.Hoffmann, J.Schwander and T.Creyts. 2000. Diffusion of stable isotopes in polar firn and ice: the isotope effect in firn diffusion. *In* Hondoh, T. (ed.). *Physics of ice core records*. Hokkaido University Press, Sapporo, 121-140.
- Jouzel, J., R.B.Alley, K.M.Cuffey, W.Dansgaard, P.Grootes, G.Hoffman, S.J.Johnsen, R.D.Koster, D.Peel, C.A.Shuman, M.Stievenard, M.Stuiver and J.White. 1997. Validity of the temperature reconstruction from stable isotopes in water in ice cores. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **102(C12)**, 26,471-26,487.
- Kekonen, T., J.C.Moore, R.Mulvaney, E.Isaksson, V.Pohjola and R.S.W.van de Wal. 2002. A 800 year record of nitrate from the Lomonosovfonna ice core, Svalbard. *Annals.Glaciol.*, **35**, xxx-xxx.
- Koerner, R.M. 1977. Distribution of microparticles in a 299-m core through the Devon Island ice cap, Northwest Territories, Canada. *IAHS*, 118, 371-376.
- Koerner, R.M. 1997. Some comments on climatic re-constructions from ice cores drilled in areas of high melt. *J.Glaciol.*, **43(143)**, 90-97.
- Legrand, M. and P.Mayewski. 1997. Glaciochemistry of polar ice cores: a review. *Rev.Geophys.*, **35(3)**, 219-243.
- Meese, D.A., A.J.Gow, R.B.Alley, G.A.Zielinski, P.M.Grootes, M.Ram, K.C.Taylor, P.A.Mayewski and J.F.Bolzan. 1997. The Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 depth-age scale: methods and results. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **102(C12)**, 26,411-26,423.
- Nordli, P.Ø., I. Hanssen-Bauser and E.J. Førland. 1996. Homogeneity analyses of temperature and precipitation series from Svalbard and Jan Mayen. *DNMI-Rapport, Norwegian Meteorological Institute 16/96 Klima*.
- Nye, J.F. 1963. Correction factor for accumulation measured by the thickness of the annual layers in an ice sheet. *J.Glaciol.*, **4(36)**, 785-788.
- Pälli, A., J. Kohler, E. Isaksson, J.C. Moore, F. Pinglot, V. Pohjola and H. Samuelsson. *In press*. Spatial and temporal variability of snow accumulation using ground-penetrating radar and ice cores on a Svalbard glacier. *J.Glaciol*, **XX(XXX)**, xxx-xxx.
- Paterson, W.S.B. 1981. *The physics of glaciers*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 380pp.
- Pinglot, J.-F., M.Pourchet, B.Lefauconnier, J.O.Hagen, E.Isaksson, R.Vaikmäe and K.Kamiyama. 1999. Investigations of temporal change of the accumulation in Svalbard glaciers deucted from nuclear tests and Chernobyl reference layers. *Polar Res.*, **18(2)**, 315-321.

Pohjola, V.A. in press. On the potential to retrieve climatic and environmental information from ice core sites suffering periodical melt, with specific assessment of the southern Patagonia ice field. In *F. Sepúlveda, G. Casassa and R. Sinclair* eds., “The Patagonia Icefields: an unique natural laboratory”. Kluwer Academic / Plenum Publishers, New York.

Pohjola, V.A., J.C.Moore, E.Isaksson, T.Jauhiainen, R.S.W.van de Wal, T.Martma, H.A.J.Meijer and R.Vaikmäe. 2001. Effect of periodic melting on geochemical and isotopic signals in an ice core from Lomonosovfonna, Svalbard. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **XXX(DXX)**, xxxxx-xxxxx.

Press, W.H., S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling and B.P. Flannery. 1992. Numerical Recipes in C, Cambridge University Press 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge pp. 994.

Raymond, C.F., B.Weertman, L.Thompson, E.Mosley-Thompson, D.Peel and R.Mulvaney. 1996. Geometry, motion and mass balance of Dyer Plateau, Antarctica. *J.Glaciol.*, **42(142)**, 510-518.

Shuman, C. A., R. B. Alley, S. Anandakrishnan, J. W. C. White, P. M. Grootes, and C. R. Stearns. 1995. Temperature and accumulation at the Greenland Summit: comparison of high-resolution isotope profiles and satellite passive microwave brightness temperature trends. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **100(D5)**, 9165-9177.

Thompson, D. W. J. and J. M. Wallace. 1998. The Arctic Oscillation signature in the winter geopotential height and temperature fields. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25(9)**, 1297-1300.

Van de Wal, R., R.Mulvaney, E.Isaksson, J.C.Moore, J.-F.Pinglot, V.Pohjola and M.P.A.Thomassen. 2002. Reconstruction from the historical temperature trend from measurements in a medium-length bore hole on the Lomonosovfonna Plateau, Svalbard. *Ann.Glaciol.*, **35**, xxx-xxx.

Whillans, I.M. and P.M.Grootes. 1985. Isotopic diffusion in cold snow and firn. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **90(D2)**, 3910-3918.



## TABLES

Table 1. Comparison of depths to reference horizons based on cycle counting with various values of  $l_s$ . The datum horizons used were: 1783 (54.82 – 54.87 m w.e.), 1920 (24.94 m w.e.), and 1963 (12.95 – 13.34 m w.e.).

$l_s$ [m w.e.]	Cycles $n$	1963 [m w.e.]	1920 [m w.e.]	1783 [m w.e.]	Year @ 81 m [Years AD]
0.100	242	12.83	28.47	62.97	1755
0.080	262	11.02	26.82	59.47	1735
0.060	288	11.02	24.74	54.02	1709
0.062	283	11.02	25.26	54.98	1714

Table 2. Comparison of number of cycles found in the  $\delta D$  and the  $\delta^{18}O$  record in the two different intervals where both were analysed.

Interval	$l_s$ [m w.e.]	Cycles $\delta^{18}O$ $N$	Cycles $\delta D$ $n$
A	0.10	10	9
B	0.07	56	52

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Map over Svalbard showing Ny Ålesund, Longyearbyen and the ice core site Lomonosovfonna on the island of Spitsbergen. The white terrestrial area is ice-covered terrain.

Figure 2. The ice core record of  $\delta^{18}O$  (oxygen isotope ratio) (left) and  $\delta D$  (hydrogen isotope ratio) (right) between 0 – 81 m core depth.

Figure 3. The record of  $\delta^{18}O$ ,  $\delta D$  and deuterium excess ( $d$ ) at Ny Ålesund between 1990 to 1997. The thinner lines are the monthly record (IAEA/WMO, 1998) and the thicker lines are a 3-point moving average. The monthly data is converted into metres of firn by adding each month's precipitation to the time (depth) axis. The density of the firn is  $400 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  throughout the pack. This forces dry and isotopically extreme periods to have a lower weighting in the depth / time axis, similar to that recorded in an ice column. The annual label is positioned at June in each year in the horizontal axis. Note that the horizontal axis is not real depth, but can be viewed as thickness from a reference point in a firnpack starting January 1990.

Figure 4. The depth – age relation in m w.e. of the upper 81 m of the Lomonosovfonna ice core calculated by using annual cycles of  $\delta^{18}O$  (thick line). The thin curve show the depth / age relation based on the Nye model using an apparent accumulation rate of  $0.36 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1}$ . The dashed curve show the depth / age relation based on the Nye model using an accumulation rate of  $0.42 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1}$  (1996 – 1963) and  $0.31 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1}$  (1963 – 1715).

Figure 5. The isotope cycles (upper curve  $\delta^{18}O$ , lower curve  $\delta D$ ) shown in two depth intervals centred on ca. 1750 and 1850 AD. The crosses mark the midpoint of each sample.

Figure 6. The calculated annual accumulation rates between 1715 – 1997 AD. The lower curve, (dashed line), shows the thinned annual thickness from the ice core. The upper curve (thin line) is the de-compressed annual thickness, giving the accumulation rates. Both curves are plotted using a weighted 5-year running mean. The thicker line is the 11-year running mean of the de-compressed accumulation rates.

Figure 7. Normalized maximum entropy spectrum (of order 50) of the accumulation rate (lower curve). The 99% and 95% significance levels against red noise estimates from 1000 first order autoregressive Monte Carlo simulations is shown in the two uppermost curves. The peaks at 20.7, 3.5, 2.8 and 2.1 years are clearly significant.















