## Computational Models for the Study of Popular Music as Musical Heritage.



# Computational Models for the Study of Popular Music as Musical Heritage.

31 maart, 2012

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

#### Outline

Music and Informatics Popular Music as Musical Heritage

Folk Songs and Popular Music Cover Song Detection Sampling in Popular Music

Universiteit Utrecht





#### Folk Songs and Popular Music Folk Songs and Popular Music COGITCH: the hook **COGITCH: the hook** 'A memorable catch-phrase or melody line which is repeated in 'A memorable catch-phrase or melody line which is repeated in a song' (Kuroff, 1982) a song' (Kuroff, 1982) 'A musical or lyrical phrase that stands out and is easily 'A musical or lyrical phrase that stands out and is easily remembered' (Monaco & Riordan, 1980) remembered' (Monaco & Riordan, 1980) Hook typology (Burns, 1987) Paradigms: repetition vs. variation vs. modulation. Parameters: rhythm, melody, harmony, lyrics, instrumentation, tempo, dynamics, Improvisation (incl. accidents), effects, mixing... [Faculty of Science Information and Computing Science [Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] Universiteit Utrecht niversiteit Utrecht









N. J. Bryan and G. Wang, "Musical Influence Network Analysis and Rank of Saple-Based Music," in Proceedings of the 12th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, 2011, no. Ismir, pp. 329-334.



Database Restricted to Hip	o-hop				
144 tracks:	- title				
	- artist			queries	76
	- genre			candidates	68
	- year			samples	104
104 samples:	- camplir	and ear	nled song	noise	320
104 Samples.	- start tin	nes of sam	npieu song Inles		
	- numbe	r of occurr	ences	samples	104
				relations	94
Random baselin	ies:				
		Mean	Std deviation	Min	Max
	MAP	0.017	0.007	0.008	0.043
Universiteit U	Jtrecht			Information ar	[Faculty of Science id Computing Sciences]

## Sampling in Popular Music









### Sampling in Popular Music

#### **Repitch-free fingerprinting**

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$N_W$	$H_W$	SR	N(ms)	H(ms)	X	$MAP_n$	$MAP_a$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12	6	2000	128	64		0.218	0.218	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12	6	2000	128	64	1	0.218	0.198	-
$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12	6	2000	128	64	$^{2}$	0.218	0.174	
Table 6.1: Results of experiments with the repitch-free landmarks. In the three experiments, the extracted landmarks were duplicated $3^X$ times and varied in an attee to predict rounding effects.		12	6	2000	128	64	3	0.218	0.145	
	Table 6.1: experiments to predict r	Results s, the ex ounding	of exp tracted effects	oerimen I landm s.	uts with t arks were	the repite duplicat	h-fre ed 3 <sup>λ</sup>	e landma <sup>7</sup> times ar	rks. In nd varied	the three l in an atten

Universiteit Utrecht